

Allocations Plan Sustainability Appraisal Addendum

1. Introduction

The purpose of this addendum is twofold firstly to set out further considerations following consultation on the publications draft of the Allocations Plan (AP) (March 2015) and secondly, to propose changes to the Sustainability Appraisal (SA).

2. Methodology

The publication draft of the SA has been review by the Forward Plans and Sustainability Team at the Forest of Dean District Council. The team is well placed to undertake the SA having an in depth knowledge of the district, being responsible for the SA in relation to the Core Strategy and having a direct connection with the plan making process.

The review looked at the proposed changes to the plan (as set out in table 1) and whether the changes proposed were likely to change the assessment undertaken in the Publication Version of the SA.

The review also identified any corrections / clarifications or additions considered appropriate.

In each case it was considered whether the proposed change fell into one of four categories:

Change (potential)	Changes are proposed that require further consideration as to whether they are likely to affect the assessment undertaken in the Publication Version of the SA
Change (minor)	Whilst changes to the plan are proposed, the changes do not significantly alter the spatial extent, policy intent, or purpose of the plan and therefore there would be no impact on the assessment undertaken in the Publication Version of the SA
Presentational	For example spelling, punctuation, presentation etc. Therefore there would be no impact on the assessment undertaken in the Publication Version of the SA.
No Change	No changes are proposed to the plan. Therefore there would be no impact on the assessment undertaken in the Publication Version of the SA.

3. Conclusion

A number of changes to the plan and the SA are proposed (tables 1 & 2). The changes have been reviewed and all are considered to have, at most, minor effects on the plan resulting in no changes to the SA. Within the proposed plan changes three changes were identified as having potential to change the Publications Draft SA assessment. These changes were considered (table 3) and in all cases it was concluded that the changes to the plan did not effect the Publication Draft SA

assessment. Therefore no changes to the Publication Draft SA are required as a result of proposed changes to the plan. The Publication Draft of the SA will need to be updated following examination, prior to adoption, with the amendments as set out in table 2.

4. Proposed changes

Following examination and in light of any changes proposed through the examination the SA will be updated to incorporate any amendments, prior to formal adoption of the plan by the Council. This will need to include the revising the of SA non-technical summary (NTS) in light of any proposed changes.

Table 1 – Allocations Plan Proposed Changes – August 2015

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
439		Allocations Plan Publication Version, March 2015	AP9 fails to recognise that the Herefordshire and Gloucestershire Canal is a non-designated heritage asset.	It is agreed that the canal is a non-designated heritage asset, except where there are designated assets such as the lock and cottage at Lock Cottage Oxenhall. Although the importance of the canal is referred to it is agreed that it should be made clear that it is considered to be a heritage asset.	Identify canal as heritage asset	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
384		Allocations Plan Publication Version, March 2015	Natural England is not able to advise that the Plan is sound at this time. The Forest of Dean district contains a high number of National and European Designated Sites and contains parts of the Malvern Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (hereafter 'AONB ') and Wye Valley AONB. It is not evident in the documentation that sufficient consideration of the impacts of the proposed allocations on these designated sites and landscapes has been given.	Discussions are continuing with NE in respect of this representation and further information has been provided. The Council currently considered sufficient assessment has been undertaken.	None proposed	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan The HRA remains unchanged
468		Allocations Plan Publication Version, March 2015	The IDP assessments we undertake are based on desktop assessment which consider the size/location of the proposed development in relation to the existing sewerage and known pinch points on the existing network which may require further investigation work. Due to the number of these type of enquiries we receive we do not have the resource	Comment noted, the LPA will continue to liaise with the water company regarding plans and individual applications.	None proposed	None - No change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
			to model every potential development (or combination) and so the desktop assessments are intended to flag where further assessments may be needed when a development comes forward. At the time of these assessment we have no information about where the developer intends to connect or and details on their on-site drainage proposals.			
501		Allocations Plan Publication Version, March 2015	The Core Strategy should have had its own Appropriate Assessment. Core Strategy Item 7.11, page 69, should contain a statement on maintaining and enhancing existing wildlife sites, in accordance with other Core Policies and Strategies.. I believe the Core Strategy remains flawed and needs re-examination. Its use within the Allocations Plan, in turn, renders the Allocations Plan unsound.	As part of a representation seeking the re-examination of the CS, the importance of wildlife especially in the Cinderford area is highlighted by this representation. This is acknowledged and agreed in the various AP and other Plan documents but is also agreed that the AP's Key Issues box for Cinderford could be amended to add under "sustainable development" point three...	Add "To protect and enhance the biodiversity of the area in recognition of its importance"	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
478	AP 7	Biodiversity	AP 7 Biodiversity Delete wording as this policy should have as basic principle the protection of species and habitats that recognises the importance of interrelated ecosystems for the survival of species as exemplified by FODFOE at the CNQ proposed development site. FODFOE wish to put forward new wording at a later date after discussion with FoDDC officers for consideration and to be able to attend the examination on public on this issue if necessary.	This representation seeks additional wording for the biodiversity policy, AP7. It is suggested that the representation will be supplemented at a later date by suggested wording. In response the Council are willing to consider improvements to the policy and text and suggest that additions are made to para 3.29...	None proposed (any change arising from this representation will only be known after the supply of further information from the representor. Further consideration of the policy will be given after any further representations from Natural England.)	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
323	AP 7	Biodiversity	<p>We note that there is generally a reliance on the adopted CS policies. We were supportive of these at the time and therefore we are satisfied that there is good coverage of environmental issue within the policy framework for this DPD. However we note that for certain aspects District Wide policies have been included. We would welcome an understanding of how certain aspects have been chosen for this policy framework and not others. For example AP7 and AP8 relate to biodiversity and Green Infrastructure. Have these been selected as being particularly important environmental issues for the District? Depending on the reasoning behind these policies, we may wish to see specific policies on flood risk and water quality as we consider these are particular issues that present environmental challenges in the District. Furthermore, we consider the wording in AP7 and AP8 could be improved and we would be happy to discuss this with you in more detail.</p>	<p>In supporting the policy and AP the EA also suggest improved wording for AP7 (biodiversity) and AP8 (green infrastructure). This is not contained within the representation although further discussion has taken place. The Council consider that the AP is an appropriate place for the policies themselves as they need to be used in conjunction with the site specific proposals. Further suggestions regarding amendments would be welcome within the context of retaining the policies and supporting their application.</p>	<p>None Proposed</p> <p>(The FoDDC response is made in a manner that would allow additional changes which may arise from discussions with NE)</p>	<p>None - No Change</p>

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
385	AP 7	Biodiversity	The Allocations Plan should include adequate policy guidance to ensure the interests of wildlife and geodiversity sites are protected drawing distinctions between International, National and Local sites so that the level of protection is commensurate with site status 2. Allocations should also take into account best and most versatile agricultural land 3 , ancient woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside ancient woodland. 4	These considerations are accepted, although there is a nationally agreed context and also much guidance about how designated sites should be considered. Although the plan is considered to have sufficient guidance, along with other non-planning advice, guidance and support from national agencies, it may be appropriate to explain this more in the AP. Additions to the text or a separate box is considered appropriate as it is a matter of explaining the protection conferred by other policies rather than the AP itself applying it- the level of protection and the way in which the interests of protected sites must be taken into account is a matter of fact.	<p>Add to the policy ... connections. "Proposals must take account of the hierarchy of nature conservation designations including:</p> <p>International – Special Protection Areas (SPA) Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Ramsar Sites National – Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient woodland, Section 42 Species and Habitats Local – Key wildlife areas, Strategic Nature areas, Green Infrastructure strategies."</p> <p>Explanation text (Green box): This policy highlights the importance and context of biodiversity in the "District and in so doing identify some of the important environmental characteristics referred to in the Core Strategy (CSP1)". It supports....</p>	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
135	3.28	Paragraph	The Woodland Trust would like see the Allocations Plan specifically take all ancient woodland and ancient trees being taken into account within the Core Strategy Vision and Objectives as well, because these are habitats that should be protected from any development.	Ancient woodland and ancient trees would be protected by CSP1 in the CS. It is considered that the references in the AP make this clear. In addition ancient woodlands are protected under the biodiversity policy (AP7).	None proposed	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
265	AP 8	Green Infrastructure	The AONB Partnership supports the following policy AP 8 Green Infrastructure	Comment noted	None proposed	None- No Change
440	AP 8	Green Infrastructure	Herefordshire and Gloucestershire Canal Trust are in support of policy AP 8.	This is agreed and acknowledged in AP9 and AP8 which considers biodiversity. A similar representation from GCC (APPV330) has been received and as a result an addition to AP9 is proposed	Add before "provision of green infrastructure".. "Biodiversity benefits and"...	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
324	AP 8	Green Infrastructure	Development Management Policies, we note that there is generally a reliance on the adopted CS policies. We were supportive of these at the time and therefore we are satisfied that there is good coverage of environmental issue within the policy framework for this DPD. However we note that for certain aspects District Wide policies have been included. We would welcome an understanding of how certain aspects have been chosen for this policy framework and not others. For example AP7 and AP8 relate to biodiversity and Green Infrastructure. Have these been selected as being particularly important environmental issues for the District? Depending on the reasoning behind these policies, we may wish to see specific policies on flood risk and water quality as we consider these are particular issues that present environmental challenges in the District. Furthermore, we	The AP does include some general policies, but subjects such as flood risk and pollution are already contained in policies supported by the EA in the adopted CS. Additional policy material could be added to the AP if it was considered necessary bearing in mind nppf/npg and the principle that additional policies should be included if they provide additional and necessary local guidance. In the case of the AP the additional policies are considered justified in response to local circumstances, a principle that would apply to any additions. Although additional policy content in respect of flooding and water quality/ pollution is not considered necessary, the Council would be happy to discuss the matter, seeking particular clarity in respect of any local justification.	None proposed	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
			consider the wording in AP7 and AP8 could be improved and we would be happy to discuss this with you in more detail.			
136	3.31	Paragraph	We would like to see this section specifically include a reference to the role of trees and woodland in supporting green infrastructure.	In respect of the change suggested in the representation, there is reference to woodland in 3.31. It is agreed that this could be expanded to cover trees and woodland.	Add to 3.31 ... woodland "and trees which can make a positive contribution to green infrastructure."	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
276	4.6	Paragraph	The current wording of para 4.6 (which provides the context and justification for policy AP9) fails to properly balance the reasonable general aspiration of restoration of the Canal with the rights and equally legitimate aims of businesses and property owners along the route.	The subject of the canal restoration/ reinstatement is likely to be one discussed at the examination. The Council's views will be explored in this event. In response to this representation, the following comments are relevant: There are other considerations beyond the planning policy in restoring / reinstating the canal. Owners consent to enter land and carry out works and agreement where there need to be diversions are two important areas. It is not considered that the text (4.6) as worded implies the consent of land owners must be given, but it can be further clarified in this respect. The Plan as a whole has to respect land ownership but planning policies and planning applications do not consider ownership as material. The AP is seeking to introduce a planning policy which secures the future of	Re word para 4.6 of the AP as follows: "The Council supports the reinstatement of the Herefordshire and Gloucestershire Canal whilst recognising the rights and aspirations of businesses and property owners located along its route. Land will be safeguarded to enable the canal to be reinstated along its original course and (by agreement with relevant landowners), diverted from this original course where necessary. The land to be protected to enable the canal, that necessary for agreed diversions and that agreed to be likely to be required for canal related facilities and agreed is shown on the proposals map. All will be protected from development unless it can be shown that such protection is not	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
				<p>the canal by protecting its historic line from development and enabling agreed diversions to be given similar protection. Agreed diversions is a term used to mean diversions that can be implemented and can therefore be shown on the proposals map as able to facilitate the establishment of the canal. They must therefore be deliverable and it follows that they need the agreement of the landowner and the canal trust (or the body responsible for the design and building of the canal) to be so (ie they can be implemented and are technically suitable). The representation includes a suggested revision to para 4.6 of the Plan and this is in part agreed and is suggested below.</p>	<p>required. It is expected that as the canal is re-established the precise areas concerned will be refined, through consultation and agreement between the Canal Trust and affected landowners and occupiers. The land protected for ancillary facilities is also safeguarded from development unrelated to the canal but its development for canal related purposes is subject to the need being proven. As with all work on land, any planning permission is quite separate from the need for developers to negotiate with the relevant landowners regarding access to land “.</p>	
162	4.19	Paragraph	<p>Policy AP14 relates to the identified May Hill locally valued landscape area, yet proposed developments outside of this area could still have visual impacts on views to and from the Hill, for example large scale developments or tall vertical structures. The identification of a May Hill landscape area through policy AP14 should not preclude consideration of such impacts through the planning application process, so perhaps this could be made clear (or clearer) within</p>	<p>Support noted, the representation highlights concern that developments outside the designated landscape could have an adverse impact on May Hill, and this is agreed. For clarity an addition is recommended to the Plan text at 4.18</p>	<p>Additional text: “May hill is visible from the wider area outside the designated landscape and it follows that some developments could have an adverse effect on may Hill. These will be assessed mainly under CSP1 which will not permit development that cannot be satisfactorily be accommodated.”</p>	<p>None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan</p>

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
			the para. 4.19 text.			
234	AP 17	Land At Stowfield, Lydbrook Policy to enable redevelopment for a variety of uses.	Chronos Technology Ltd owns this parcel of land and has previously redeveloped a section of the original factory unit for business use and may in time redevelop a further section of the original factory (subject to the normal planning processes). Chronos would like to recommend that this smaller area of land is reclassified as being suitable for both housing and employment purposes f	The representation seeks a change to the policy which would allow the smaller part of the site to be developed for mixed use rather than simply employment generating uses. The proposal is to allow an element of housing. This could enable the redevelopment of the factory premises that fronts the main road through the allocated area. It is likely that the development of the whole site will take place in a phased manner, and the small area the subject of this representation is in a different ownership to the main site. In order to provide greater certainty that it will be able to be developed, it is recommended that greater flexibility is introduced into the policy to allow an element of residential use, up to 1/3rd within the smaller southern part of the site. The remainder would remain set aside for employment generating uses which can cover a relatively wide range. It is recommended that the policy requires these two elements to be developed at the same time or with a legal agreement that the employment provision is made within a certain time of the housing been completed.	Change to policy... "South of the main road an area of about 1hectare is allocated for mixed residential and employment generating uses with no more than 1/3 to be for residential use". (this will need the text to be changed as well, in the second sentence of 4.24 add "and residential" after "employment".	Requires more detail assessment to consider implications on SA.

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
469	AP 37	Linear Park, Cinderford	Green Box and text and 5.27 Cinderford Northern Quarter and replace with the following :- AP37 LINEAR PARK CINDERFORD NEW POLICY The Linear Park is an important open area with a complex ecosystem of many varied habitats supporting a wide variety of species of national and European importance. It is also an important open area for quiet recreation compatible with the maintenance and enhancement of this important ecosystem. The Linear Park is to be protected from development incompatible quiet recreational activities and the maintenance and enhancement of habitats and species. Included in the assessment of any proposal will be consideration of the following potential impacts:	This representation appears to relate to the Cinderford NQAAP area in view of the fact that the AP only contains a policy for the Linear park which safeguards it for recreation use. The assessment of the AP therefore should and does consider the policy, AP37. This does not propose major development. The AP as a whole has been subject to the necessary assessments and this has included any consideration of cumulative or in combination effects. The change suggested does include wording that emphasises the importance of the Linear park for wildlife and in this respect additional wording is recommended in the plan text.	After “green infrastructure” in 5.24, add “... The Linear park is a complex area of considerable importance for nature conservation	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
313	AP 37	Linear Park, Cinderford	With reference to the above & in particular to the Cinderford Northern Quarter I wish make the most strongest objection to the inclusion of statutory forest land being included into this AAP, I also state that I wish to appear and be allowed to speak at the inspectors review of this AAP	The AP does not include the land covered by the AAP, please see note below para 5.7. The AP does cover the remainder of the district and seeks to protect the statutory forest alongside the policies in the CS. The one exception to which this representation refers is the CNQ where development if proposed on some areas of non planted forest.	None Proposed	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
470	5.27	Paragraph	The CNQ should be reviewed through the AP and should not include any land in private ownership. The Habitats Regulation Assessment and the Appropriate Assessment should have accompanied the Allocations Plan at a much earlier stage in the process. There is no management Plan in place for the Wye Valley and FoD Bat SCA confirmed in 2005 .	In view of the protective nature of AP37 no change is needed though in response to another representation (469) the policy and its text is recommended to add reference to the ecological importance of the LP.	None Proposed	None - No Change (addressed in (469))
518	5.27	Paragraph	I wish to object to Policy 37 regarding Cinderford Linear Park in the above Plan. This whole socially important path has been designated as a place for quiet public enjoyment, with unrestricted public access, and it is simply WRONG to shorten it by transfer of Forest Land and Forest Waste. Further, I object to item 5;27 regarding the Cinderford Northern Quarter. This area should be allowed to continue to revert to nature, with its many protected species, as it is partly a flood zone, and has been specifically named as a Mining High Risk Area by the NCB. And further other feasible sites for the unsuitable large scale developments envisaged exist in more central parts of the Town. The Council's above proposals would, if realised, make the whole plan unsafe. I support, however, the Policy, green box text, and New Key Proposals Map Boundary	The policy AP37 protects the Linear Park and the representation seeks to protect it too. Much of the representation relates to the CNQ which is not covered by the AP. In view of the protective nature of AP37 no change is needed though in response to another representation (469) the policy and its text is recommended to add reference to the ecological importance of the LP.	None Proposed	None - No Change (addressed in 469)

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
			for the AP37 Cinderford Linear Park NEW Policy as submitted by the Dean Natural Alliance.			
26	AP 42	Lydney Harbour	Policy AP 42 Lydney Harbour This scheduled monument is currently on the national Heritage at Risk register due to the poor state of the harbour walls. To accord with paragraph 126 of the NPPF, Policy AP 42 should refer to how this initiative should support the completion of appropriate repairs. The Council may also wish to include this matter within it schedule of infrastructure to be funded by the Community Infrastructure Levy.	The plan policy should be updated to add reference to the "at risk" status	Add to 6.30 "The harbour was in 2015 identified as at risk in Historic England's national register and this will be taken into account with respect to the policy's requirement for safeguarding and enhancement".	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
59	AP 43	Pine End Works and Land to the North	The representor is the free holder of the Pine End Works in Lydney and the land to the north of PEW (Policy AP43). The text of the policy is strongly supported as providing a positive and flexible basis for the early redevelopment and regeneration of the Pine End Works and the land to its north. The objection relates to the boundary of the AP43 allocation as shown on the Proposals map.	This representation on behalf of the owner of premises at Lydney draws attention to the area as allocated not coinciding with the actual ownership of the land concerned. Although ownership is not necessarily relevant to planning allocations, in this case the intention is to allocate the entire site for a particular purpose and the plan therefore needs to be revised as indicated in the representation (remove one small area of land and add another).	The proposals map needs to be amended as referred to in the representation.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
298	AP 54	Coleford Town Centre	AP 54 – Add to box: ‘While architectural anomalies have been allowed in the past, all new and refurbishments should visually respect the market town appearance to maintain its role as an important and pleasant area for tourism.’	Included in observations related to this policy (Coleford Town Centre) is a representation asking for reference to the importance of good design to be added. This is considered a useful change and the policy is recommended to be amended.	Add in to policy AP54 "good design is especially important in the town centre with its extensive public areas and conservation area."	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
362	AP 54	Coleford Town Centre	Amend the policy wording to include the bold typeThroughout the plan period opportunities for environmental improvements SUCH AS SMALL GREEN AREAS, SHOP FRONT REGENERATION IN THE CONSERVATION AREA CONSISTENT SIGNAGE, HERITAGE IMPRPOVEMENT will be taken against.....	The policy be amended as suggested	As part of a representation the following addition to AP 54 is suggested, after the words “environmental improvements” in the second paragraph... “such as small green areas, shop front regeneration in the conservation area, consistent signage, heritage improvement”. This sis generally supported and the wording is recommended for inclusion in the policy with the amendment “improvements to heritage assets and other features” instead of the words “heritage improvement”.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
299	AP 55	Lawnstone House	AP 55 – “Special requirements” – Replace “If possible it should....” With:- ‘ As one of the few remaining sites in the town centre it will be important for any redevelopment to incorporate some pleasant public open space.’	It has been suggested as desirable to strengthen the requirement for open space in connection with an allocation (Lawnstone House Coleford). This is accepted	Delete " if possible" from the Special requirements of the policy.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
300	AP 55	Lawnstone House	AP 55 – Add or make new policy to cover the ‘Annex’ area which should be allocated to mixed retail/commercial use.	The present policy allocates land which adjoins another smaller vacant premise which is under the same ownership as the main site. It is suitable for the same range of uses as the main site and is therefore better provided for by being included in the policy.	It is recommended that “The Annexe” be included in an enlarged area covered by AP55 and that the policy be changed accordingly (The revised policy would cover an area of 0.2ha and allocate the site for about 12 flats, together with retail business and community uses.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
366	AP 56	Former Courts and Police Station	Add to the first paragraph of AP56 after the word 'permitted' ' as part of the allocation requirement'. The term ' place of assembly 'requires some guidance for developers to ensure that the site is purchased for appropriate use and that will improve the existing facilities and be in character with the town. 'Landscaping' should be emphasised as important in order to keep this gateway site attractive.	The representation suggests recognising the importance of the location and ensuring that any change is beneficial to the appearance of the town.	Amend the policy to make clear the need for quality landscaping are supported and for completeness “Place of assembly” ²² should be added to the glossary of the Plan. It is recommended that under landscaping in AP56 the words “ensuring good quality appropriate to the prominent location adjoining the Conservation Area” are added.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
27	AP 57	King's Head Public House	Policy AP 57 King's Head Public House, Coleford This "prominent and important landmark" makes a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area and as a result should be afforded due protection in accordance with section 72 of the Planning (LB and CA) Act 1990 and Paragraph 132 of the NPPF. Historic England would suggest that paragraph 7.9 of the Allocation Plan should not 'entertain' the prospect of demolition. To do so would result in the Plans failure to conform to national policy and the requirement for a positive conservation strategy. If in due course the situation arises that a proposal is submitted to the council that addresses all the necessary national tests then a consideration of the loss of the building may be considered then.	HE draw attention to the historic importance and role of the building in the Conservation Area. It is suggested that this be acknowledged in the text of the plan and the suggestion is agreed.	Add to policy explanation "after "Conservation Area"... "The loss of the main building would have to be considered in the context of national guidance and in the context of a positive conservation strategy that applies in conservation areas."	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
91	AP 67	Community Centre; Lewall Street	The land which is outlined for community centre is small and would have limited use. The development of a town council office on such a site would not be appropriate when there are empty shops with disabled access which could be rented. The residents on Newent would not have the cost of capital expenditure and maintenance and upkeep of the building. The development of new housing is on the opposite side of the town if required consideration for community centre should be in within these developments. With such development there should be some consideration for running cost which would have an effect on council tax.	The plan allocates land for a community building. The location is close to the existing well used park (Lakeside) and the allocation is one for a modest (0.34ha) site to include a building used for community purposes. The building would complement the existing park and could make use of the nearby parking. Although the present use is as a paddock, the land is considered suitable subject to the wording of the policy which is intended to ensure that the building is compatible with its surroundings. Should the site not be required for community use the plan would not support other buildings. The site is not considered vulnerable to flooding and would need to be developed in a manner that did not add to any existing problem elsewhere.	None proposed	None- No change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
7	AP 72	Ross Road Newent	On behalf of LFI (Newent) Ltd, I can confirm that support is given to the policy and proposal map. It is critical to the company as a major employer in Newent with significant expansion plans that their operational area adjoining the historic line of the canal is safeguarded for their continued employment use. The Local Planning Authority has considerable representations on this subject from previous consultations on the Allocations Plan and it is important to note that if any changes to the current plan were to be made, this would threaten the future of the employment site. In these circumstances if this subject is to be considered at the Examination by an Inspector, the company would wish to be represented at any such event	Support for the revised policy is noted. It is however considered likely that the examination process will include further discussion in relation to the canal and that the AP could be modified as a result. The policy as it is in the publication draft is considered suitable by the Council although further detail in respect of agreed diversions (agreed between all interested parties) could be added. This respondent is one of the key parties in respect of the policy its wording and any related safeguarding and the Council would welcome further discussions.	None proposed	None - No Change
462	12	Blakeney	Blakeney – we are still in discussion with the EA about to possible closure of Cinderford Crumpmeadow STW and transfer of flow to Blakeney. A final decision hasn't been made yet. Capacity will be available for the developments outlined (either at Blakeney or Cinderford) as and when required.	Comment noted (made in conjunction with STW capacity for a number of locations including Blakeney).	None proposed	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
4	19.3	Paragraph	Believe the document substantially understates the local amenity and thus risks the years of work that have gone into the establishing of a cohesive network of paths with great appeal to our many tourists. The two 13km paths are in fact Poets Paths 1 & 2. These have been fought for, developed and maintained by local people and are an essential ingredient in the strong tourist offering, they are not just paths to be casually ignored . there is no mention of the daffodil way 16km embracing the history and culture of the area.	In response to representations that the Plan fails to adequately recognise the importance of the footpath network around Dymock or the relevance of the geopark, a change to the text is recommended.	add to 19.3... “These footpaths and associations are important features as which can help encourage tourism but also need to be respected in considering any proposals for development”. In addition reference to the Geopark may be added to the summary information under “designations”.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
289	19.3	Paragraph	Paragraph 19.3 should be amended to reflect the four named heritage of the Dymock area and acknowledge their importance to attract visitors to the canal Basin which is under construction.	The importance of the walks is agreed and additional reference will be made.	Change as referenced, refer to the circular walks	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
419	26	Littledean	Include Land off Beech Way Littledean within the defined settlement boundary and allocation for housing.	This site is one where at the time of writing an appeal is pending. If the appeal is allowed then the development as proposed will be allocated in the AP. If it is not then the allocation will not be made. The site is considered inappropriate mainly because of its potential adverse impact on the landscape. In addition any impact on the nearby jail (listed) could be detrimental.	Amend Plan in accordance with outcome of appeal, permitted.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
29	AP 85	Old Coach Depot, Mitcheldean - Housing Allocation	Policy AP 85 Old Coach Depot, Mitcheldean This is a very sensitive site adjacent to the nationally important Grade I Church of St Michael and All Angels and the towns Conservation Area. The policy should therefore emphasise that any proposal should demonstrate a high quality contextual development that positively responds to the setting of the neighbouring heritage assets ensuring their significance is conserved. Any development will need to be a creative and bespoke response to the tight knit historic core rather than the generic and standard architecture and layout reflected in the most recent development to the SW of the site.	A representation from HE draws attention to the proximity of the Church and Conservation Area at Mitcheldean to the allocated site AP85 which is allocated for new housing. It is suggested that the policy should refer to this in more detail.	The policy “features” to be amended to read “The site adjoins the conservation area and the site is close to and part of the setting of the Grade 1 listed church. Development must take full account of this”. The statement should be referred to in the text of the plan.	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan n

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
187	31.1	Paragraph	Add new "Key Issue" below "2. Mitigate the impact of the A48 on the village" 3. Ensure that the longer term needs that will arise from an ageing population in Newnham and its hinterland are the priority for the restricted amount of land that it is possible to release for development close to village centre services and facilities	Representations have been made drawing attention to the need to provide housing for older persons. In agreeing that this need should be met it is considered appropriate to add a statement to the introduction of the Plan.	Add at the introduction (after 2.14) a statement making clear the need for increasing provision for housing of older persons. "As part of the consideration for the plan the needs of various groups within the population need to be catered for having identified the likely scale and nature of any such need. The needs of an increasingly elderly population are one of the most important changing requirements that the Plan will need to meet. Some of the sites that are allocated for housing may be especially suitable for older persons and others for a mix of dwellings including some to meet their needs. "	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
64	AP 89	The Victoria Hotel, Newnham on Severn and land adjoining	I question the proportion of affordable houses on this site. It ignores the findings of the Newnham on Severn Parish Council's Housing Needs Survey of 2013. My major reservation about this proposal concerns the vehicular access from the site to the A48. The present junction is awkward, visibility is poor, and the side road (the Green) is narrow. It is very likely that the Highways department will raise objections to the proposed access.	Comments noted, the starting point for negotiations for affordable housing is 40%, which is sought as a proportion of new developments. In terms of access the development would replace a hotel and a garage with residential use. Detailed studies at the planning application stage will be needed and will show the difference between traffic generation between the existing (albeit not current) use and the new proposed. Parking will need to be provided on site.	None proposed	None - No Change
188	AP 89	The Victoria Hotel, Newnham on Severn and land adjoining	The submitted Allocations Plan AP89 proposal should be amended as follows: In the description of policy, "The policy has two primary aims...", amend the wording to say ".....The second aim is to enable a variety of additional housing including housing for older people to be provided". The "Access for vehicles" requirement should mention disabled parking to support any housing for older or disabled people developed on the site. "Special requirements" to note that there should be an extension to the building at the rear for a safe and accessible passenger lift were the Victoria Hotel to be converted to housing or becomes part of a care home established on the site; and that any housing or residential units for	A representation linked to 187 above seeks provision for older persons. The AP is considered to take account of this need and it is not generally appropriate to allocate housing solely for the elderly. In the case of Newnham however, it is appropriate to highlight the potential suitability of the allocation of the Victoria hotel.	Insert after housing in the village (para31.4)... "The site and especially the new build element may be particularly suitable for accommodation for older persons".	Requires more detail assessment to consider implications on SA.

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
			older people should be designed to a recognised national standard of accessible design.			
480	AP 89	The Victoria Hotel, Newnham on Severn and land adjoining	AP 89 object The Victoria Hotel is a prominent feature in Newnham and was an important venue for local communities and visitors to the area. It should be restored to its original function and no change of use supported by the Allocation Plan.	The hotel has been vacant for a number of years and the policy which seeks an alternative beneficial use is considered appropriate to both provide additional housing and to provide a viable future to enable the building to be safeguarded.	None proposed	None - No Change
185	AP 90	Land North of Newnham on Severn and adjoining Unlawater Lane	This objection seeks for the submitted Allocations Plan AP90 to be amended to (a) delete the road access land shown east of Unlawater Lane, and (b) identify a smaller area west of Unlawater Lane for a well landscaped older persons or extra care complex offering a mix of one and two bedroom self-contained to be developed within the current field boundaries, and with additional planting to extend the existing protected tree along its frontage to Unlawater Lane, but after reserving (i) a significant strip along the southern edge of the field for a landscaped path and cycle path enhancing the present footpath, and (ii) a section along the western edge of the school wide enough to accommodate a standard primary school classroom building, following the March 2014 Education Funding Agency baseline school design	The allocation is considered justified in relation to the future needs of Newnham. The scale is in keeping with the allocations at other villages and the site is considered to be in the only part of the locality where land can be identified that is reasonably free of constraints. It is considered essential that there should be pedestrian access between the main part of the village and the new development and that this will be beneficial to the old and new development. Walking to school is also supported. The comments about the cricket ground etc. are noted and the provision of a better access is required as part of the allocation. Footpaths across the site should be provided for and this should as far as possible retain the character and nature of the existing paths. The majority of the new site is	This representation seeks a smaller and different development for (older persons) on land north of Newnham. It is agreed that there is a need for this type of development across the district and there is no reason why the allocation could not at least in part be developed in this manner. It is a reasonably accessible location and there are some facilities available in the village. The more detailed suggestions regarding landscaping are also compatible with the allocation as in the AP. There may be additional land onto which the school could expand but if the need were proven then it could be met on the allocation land, as a variation of the policy or in addition to the	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
			planning guidance.	intended to have a new vehicle access, but all will be linked for walking and cycling to the existing village in a manner not using this main access to the A 48. The school site should be able to expand and it is agreed that there should ideally be provision for additional land. The allocation could include an option for this although there may be other land that is suitable if any is necessary.	None proposed	
335	AP 90	Land North of Newnham on Severn and adjoining Unlawater Lane	Newnham Parish Council most strongly believe that the quantum identified in the Allocations Plan must be reviewed to bring about sensible, organic growth for the village, whilst seeking to retain its unique character. Dean Forest Farm land : 10 houses which can be comfortably accommodated within the meadow land between the school and Unlawater Lane with the possibility of two more on land east of Pavilion End Barn. This would represent a more acceptable and reasonable scale of infill and could be served off Unlawater Lane given its width at the narrowest point.	Newnham is a major village and it is appropriate that there is further provision for its growth in a manner that supports the local services and provides a small share of the housing required over the district. For this reason a new allocation is sought, in general accord with the scale of provision at other major villages, and in response to the CS requirement as amended by the more recent evidence of need. Although the representation accepts some development, the scale is considered too great and the cost in terms of the access presumed to be needed is thought to be unacceptable. In response, the land to the west of the village and to the north is generally in agricultural use so an allocation in either general areas would involve the loss of agricultural land. The land	None proposed	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
				<p>to the west would be hard to access as the current road is not suitable. It would also be split from the village as land above the railway tunnel would need to be retained undeveloped. It is agreed that the proposed development north of the village will involve a major change in the formation of a new access but this may also serve to slow traffic at the entrance to the village. Quality design and landscape are vital as referred to in the policy, and the new access should also serve the school, providing some relief of the present congested situation.</p>		

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
319	AP 90	Land North of Newnham on Severn and adjoining Unlawater Lane	The new access from the A48 is likely to flood and as such the site will not enjoy safe dry access for the lifetime of the development. No discussion is made in the policy wording for AD90 in the ADPD with regards flood risk. This is concerning as it is a key constraint that brings into question whether this site aligns with the objectives and vision of the CS and indeed whether this site would constitute 'sustainable' development. Furthermore, our attached map shows a culverted ordinary watercourse to the south of the site in an adjoining land parcel. This will require consideration as to whether this poses a risk to the site as it has not been modelled to date and the risks associated with it are unknown. The plan needs to be certain that this is a sustainable site to promote and indeed whether it passes the ST.	The potential for flooding from FZ2 and FZ3 is noted. this relates to part of the A48 frontage of the site which is at a lower level than the site itself. The land allocated is contiguous with other development and existing paths and minor routes and will also serve the school. Alternative access should therefore be readily available in the event that the FRA for the site shows this to be necessary. The flooding issue is believed to affect the A48 closer to the village and may be able to be addressed by alleviation measures. The development site itself is not affected, and is regarded as able to be developed subject to the design which provides the alternative access detailed above. Detailed investigation of the watercourse referred to will be part of the FRA at the time of the planning application. There is an area not part of the site where a "less susceptible" SW issue is identified, but this appears minor and does not directly affect the site. The minor watercourse referred to will be addressed at the planning application stage, it is not shown on the site.	None proposed	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
15	36.1	Paragraph	It is considered that the Key Issue statement needs to be strengthened in view of the threat that the area is under from the development of large areas of polytunnels and cloches.	1 A representation in connection with Redmarley has been received, drawing attention to the impact of polytunnels. These are not specifically covered in the AP, but it is recommended that a comment be added at the introduction of the AP.	Add to 2.29 after the first sentence a comment... "CSP1 in particular requires a comprehensive assessment of the impact of any proposed development and this includes development expected in the countryside which serves agriculture such as polytunnels. Where this is not acceptable it will be refused."	None –Minor, No change to purpose or intent to this element of the plan
140	37	Ruardean	The plan should be modified to include the area of land adjacent to Belle Vue Turners Tump Ruardean within the Defined Settlement Boundary	This area is not visually part of the settlement and is similar to the area between Pettycroft and the main part of the village. It is on a steep slope and is quite prominent. The policy which applies to pettycroft seeks to retain its present open character and the setting for this is important. To add the area proposed to the dsb would have an adverse impact on the immediate area and it is not considered that the plan should be amended	None proposed	None - No Change

ID APPV	AP Ref	Title	Summary of representation (summarised for presentational purposes)	FoDDC Response	Proposed Changes	Impact of proposed change on SA
69	AP 95	Locally Valued Landscape - Staunton / Corse	It is my view that the current allocation plan encourages a vehicular and pedestrian access from the main road in a location that would be harmful to the setting of the heritage asset and the preservation of the Chartist layout generally. The alternative access via the drive to Staunton Lodge would not cause any harm to the Heritage assets, nor would it adversely effect the enjoyment of the neighbouring properties.	The response is that the additional land could be included in the application site without a change to the allocation because it is within the settlement boundary. The representation also suggests the identification of an alternative means of access to the site. This would use an existing driveway which is said to be capable of providing the necessary width. It would avoid the need for an access from the A 417 and could be better in respect of the need to respect the interests of the Conservation area and Listed buildings.	While the substitution is not agreed, the policy is recommended to be modified to allow the alternative means of access. In AP95 therefore, the policy in respect of “access for vehicles” should be modified to read “direct from the A417, possibly via the existing access currently serving Staunton Lodge”.	Requires more detail assessment to consider implications on SA.

Table 2 – Proposed changes to the publication version of the SA

ID	SA Ref	Proposed Change	Reasoning	SA Impact
SA1	Par 1.4.1	Delete 3 rd and 4 th bullets and replace with new bullet point “Planning Practice Guidance Strategic environmental assessment and sustainability appraisal (Paragraph: 005 Reference ID: 11-005-20140306).”	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment
SA2	Par 2.1.9	Replace 2 nd from last sentence “ The formal stage of consultation, as identified in the diagram, was undertaken between March and May 2015.”	Update	No resultant change to assessment
SA3	Par 3.1.6	Coleford not ‘coleofrd’	Presentational	No resultant change to assessment
SA4	Para 3.1.12	<p>Insert new paragraph and table before 3.1.12</p> <p>“In Gloucestershire (two local government administration) there is a high level of co-operation between the constituent LAs some of which is through joint working. This has been evident for a number of years in respect of key evidence that supports the strategic planning of the county. It is especially relevant in matters connected with housing.</p> <p>In order to set out the way in which the Gloucestershire LAs co-operate there is a memorandum of understanding and a schedule which sets out current actions and the principle bodies involved. The schedule in particular is a detailed summary of joint working covering a great variety of issues and outcomes. The key areas are listed in the table below”.</p> <p>See appendix 1 for table.</p>	Update	No resultant change to assessment
SA5	Para 3.1.19	<p>Insert afterpreparation of the AP.</p> <p>“The Council is proposing to meet its requirements within the boundaries and without recourse to the need to make provision for development in adjoining areas. This is the situation in respect of neighbouring authorities, who within their co-operation are working together but each adjoining plan makes or will make sufficient provision for its needs. Whilst the FoDDC will continue to discuss neighbouring plans these are supported as currently adopted or proposed. The Council is currently involved in the LTP process and in a review of the Lydney highway strategy. The Council made representations and will</p>	Update	No resultant change to assessment

ID	SA Ref	Proposed Change	Reasoning	SA Impact
		continue to be involved in the Minerals and also waste Local Plans.”		
SA6	Para 3.2.18	Add new after “....incidents in 2011.” The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP), following consultation with statutory bodies the requirements for water supply and treatment to meet the scale of development set out in the AP.	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment
SA7	Subtitle 4.1	Replace ‘Testing the SA objectives’ to ‘Testing the AP objectives’	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment
SA8	Replace 4.2.4	Sites for employment and housing were identified in three main ways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing allocations in the saved local plan • Sites with planning permission • Sites identified through the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment • Sites identified through development document consultation in 2012. Employment and Housing sites which had potential to fit with the preferred strategic option were assessed and compared using a four stage methodology:	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment
SA9	After 4.3.1	Insert new paragraph: Through the assessment consideration has been given to impact on surrounding areas. This was achieved through consideration of neighbouring land use plans (section 3), the development of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) and through the ‘duty to co-operate’ in the plan making process. The IDP was jointly commissioned with the neighbouring Local Authorities in Gloucestershire and involved consultation with bodies such as the Highway’s Agency, Environment agency, and water companies which work across local authority administrative boundaries. The HRA considers the potential for effects on European designated sites and has informed the SA assessment.	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment
SA10	Para 4.4.2	The policy ‘Sustainable Energy within Development Proposals’ is CSP_3 not	Presentational	No resultant change to

ID	SA Ref	Proposed Change	Reasoning	SA Impact
		CSP2		assessment
SA11	Para 4.4.4	<p>Add to 3. Air Quality.</p> <p>Air quality in Lydney continues to fluctuate with mean annual rates varying in the period 2009-2013 (2014 Air Quality Progress Report). It is concluded therefore that the current trend is static showing neither an increase nor decrease, although there are fluctuations from year to year. The Council has recently adopted Air Quality Technical Guidance which sets out assessment requirements for development proposals. The guidance also outlines potential development mitigation measures.</p>	Update	No resultant change to assessment
SA12		<p>Add to 4. Water Management</p> <p>“The IDP, in consultation with the water companies has identified infrastructure requirements in relation to allocations within the plan. Whilst some phasing of development over the plan period may well be required in general matters could be expected to be satisfactorily addressed.”</p>	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment
SA13	Para 4.5.2	Replace ‘Annual Report’ with ‘ <u>Authorities Report</u> ’	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment
SA14	Para 5.0.1	Replace second sentence “The publication version of the Allocation plan and supporting documents, including the SA, were published for public consultation from the 25 th March to 20 th May 2010.	Update	No resultant change to assessment
SA15	Appendix 2	<p>In the ‘local’ section include:</p> <p><u>Strategic Housing land Availability Assessment: 2012 -2013: FoDDC: Housing sites assessment</u></p> <p>And</p> <p><u>Strategic Housing land Availability Assessment update paper: 2014: FoDDC: Housing sites assessment</u></p> <p>And</p> <p><u>Infrastructure Delivery Plan: 2015 : FoDDC</u></p>	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment

ID	SA Ref	Proposed Change	Reasoning	SA Impact
SA16	Appendix 3 - 3.1.2	Change ward names from white text colour to black and include description of categories	Presentational	No resultant change to assessment
SA17	Appendix 11 – 11.17	Replace effects for object 8 as negative and replace nature of effects with “The site is away from existing services and connections and therefore likely to generate a high degree of travel”.	For clarity	No resultant change to assessment

Table 3 – Review of plan changes which have been identified as having potential to impact the publication draft SA assessment.

ID:	Proposed Change to Plan:										
APPV234	Change to policy... “South of the main road an area of about 1hectare is allocated for mixed residential and employment generating uses with no more than 1/3 to be for residential use”. (this will need the text to be changed as well, in the second sentence of 4.24 add “and residential” after “employment”.										
Policy AP17											
Publication Draft SA Assessment											
SA Objective											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	+	+	0	+	+	0	-	0	-	0	+
Impacts of Change											
<p>The change allows an area already identified for development in the plan a broader spectrum of uses, the inclusion of residential. The impacts of the change are likely to be greater private transport use due to the distance of the site from services. The publication draft of the SA already identifies these negative effects (SA objectives 8 & 9). Therefore the publication draft of the SA continues to be appropriate and no changes to the SA are required.</p>											
Revised Assessment											
Not Required											
Impacts / Changes to SA											
No Changes											

ID: APPV188 Policy AP89	Proposed Change to Plan: Insert after housing in the village (para31.4)... "The site and especially the new build element may be particularly suitable for accommodation for older persons".																																				
Publication Draft SA Assessment <table border="1" data-bbox="193 439 1461 566"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="12" data-bbox="193 439 1461 472">SA Objective</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="193 472 296 506">1</th> <th data-bbox="296 472 400 506">2</th> <th data-bbox="400 472 504 506">3</th> <th data-bbox="504 472 608 506">4</th> <th data-bbox="608 472 711 506">5</th> <th data-bbox="711 472 815 506">6</th> <th data-bbox="815 472 919 506">7</th> <th data-bbox="919 472 1023 506">8</th> <th data-bbox="1023 472 1126 506">9</th> <th data-bbox="1126 472 1230 506">10</th> <th data-bbox="1230 472 1334 506">11</th> <th data-bbox="1334 472 1461 506">12</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="193 506 296 566">+</td> <td data-bbox="296 506 400 566">+</td> <td data-bbox="400 506 504 566">+</td> <td data-bbox="504 506 608 566">0</td> <td data-bbox="608 506 711 566">0</td> <td data-bbox="711 506 815 566">+</td> <td data-bbox="815 506 919 566">+</td> <td data-bbox="919 506 1023 566">+</td> <td data-bbox="1023 506 1126 566">0</td> <td data-bbox="1126 506 1230 566">0</td> <td data-bbox="1230 506 1334 566">0</td> <td data-bbox="1334 506 1461 566">+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		SA Objective												1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+
SA Objective																																					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12																										
+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+																										
Impacts of Change The change identifies an area already identified for development in the plan may be suitable accommodation designed with for older people. The publication draft of the SA already identifies positive impacts in regards to improving health and wellbeing of the population (SA Objective 1) and meeting local housing need (SA objective 2). Therefore the publication draft of the SA continues to be appropriate and no changes to the SA are required.																																					
Revised Assessment Not Required																																					
Impacts / Changes to SA No Changes																																					

ID: APPV69 Policy AP95	Proposed Change to Plan: While the substitution is not agreed, the policy is recommended to be modified to allow the alternative means of access. In AP95 therefore, the policy in respect of “access for vehicles” should be modified to read “direct from the A417, possibly via the existing access currently serving Staunton Lodge”.																																															
Publication Draft SA Assessment																																																
<table border="1" style="width:100%; text-align:center;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="12">SA Objective</th> </tr> <tr> <th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>6</th><th>7</th><th>8</th><th>9</th><th>10</th><th>11</th><th>12</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>+</td><td>0</td><td>+</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>													SA Objective												1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0
SA Objective																																																
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12																																					
0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0																																					
Impacts of Change <p>The policy change enables consideration of an alternative access. Ultimately this provides additional flexibility to address constraints or impacts, particularly in regards historical assets (conservation area and listed buildings). Whilst it improves flexibility the change is unlikely to result in an overall positive contribution to safeguarding historical assets, although there is some potential. Therefore the assessment in relation to objective 12 (Historical Assets) remains neutral. Other SA objectives also remain unchanged.</p> <p>Therefore the publication draft of the SA continues to be appropriate and no changes to the SA are required.</p>																																																
Revised Assessment Not Required																																																
Impacts / Changes to SA No Changes																																																

Appendix 1 – Table to be inserted at 3.1.12 (see SA4 above)

Table XX - Joint Working

Subject	Activity	With whom / and what
Multi issue (strategic)	Joint LA groups addressing strategic issues (CSPIG/ GEGJC) planning and more general focus	Gloucestershire LAs but also other bodies as needed (e.g. HCA, LEP)
Multi issue (strategic)	Joint LA group of planning policy officers principally involved in coordination of Local plans, also commissioning of studies eg OAN,	Gloucestershire LAs but with additional (eg adjoining authorities invited as required)
Multi issue (strategic)	FoDDC involvement with individual NDPs	Parish and town councils and GRCC
Multi issue (strategic)	Consulting and discussion with other non-Gloucestershire LAs- includes comments on Plans and joint contact. Mainly in connection with strategic matters but also local issues (e.g. cross border at Chepstow/ Tutshill & Sedbury)	Adjoining Local Authorities, especially Monmouthshire, for but also Herefordshire and S Gloucestershire
Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA)	County wide SHMAs and updates- uses single market area and county wide study as a basis for understanding housing market provision and affordable needs	Between LAs and involving housing developers, providers etc.
Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA)	Degree of common approach established by joint meetings and other contact- study of potential sites for housing provision	Between LAs to ensure compatible approach
Objectively Assessed Need (OAN)	Most recently a Joint study between Cotswold, FoDDC and Stroud which is compatible with and refers to JCS study/ studies for remainder of County	Gloucestershire LAs
Gypsy Traveller Showpeople sites assessment (GTSSA)	Most recent 2013 County wide assessment, the second study at this level of traveller and show people's requirements	Between LAs to produce a county wide study, prepared by consultants
Employment/ economy	Participation in SEP and related studies- cooperation in respect of plan strategies mainly via officer and member groups.	Between LAs and with LEP as inputs for strategies and bids/
Local Transport Plan (LTP)	Ongoing review of LTP involving County Council and interested parties which include FoDDC	All LAs involved in joint formulation and discussion
LTB (Local Transport Board)	Joint body chiefly involved in transport capital funding, including bids for FoDDC	Gloucestershire County Council
GCC Transport	Joint involvement with GCC on other transport matters, including projects such as improvements to rail facilities, services and new infrastructure	Gloucestershire County Council
Environment	Development of Green Infrastructure Strategy and Strategic Nature Areas. Co-operation with Local Nature Partnership, Environmental records centre to share information and achieve coordinated approach.	LNP. GWT, LA's other bodies
Other strategic matters	E.g. major infrastructure (Oldbury power station)	Via policy group.

Subject	Activity	With whom / and what
IDP	Jointly commissioned IDPs producing individual but compatible results for LAs.	IDP completed by consultants involving extensive discussions with providers
Environment Agency	Chiefly with regard to plan making and consultation on sites but also Lydney harbour Partnership (coordinated development of harbour)	On going contact regarding plan allocations
Historic England (English Heritage)	Contact as statutory consultee in respect of heritage issues	On going contact regarding plan allocations
Homes and communities Agency	Mainly in respect of implementation and development of housing sites for the AP area but also in respect of joint development projects at Cinderford (largely within the AAP).	As partners in development projects
Coal Authority	Contact as statutory consultee for coalfield area	On going contact regarding plan allocations
AONB Partnerships	Contact and co operation in respect of AONB management	On going contact regarding plan allocations
Severn Estuary	Contact in respect of overall estuary issues- includes technical SAC interests (ASERA)	Involvement in Forum

