Forest of Dean District Council



2015 Updating and Screening Assessment for Forest of Dean District Council

In fulfillment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

July 2015

Local Authority Officer	Karen Toomer
Department	Environmental Protection and Licensing
	Council Offices
	High Street
Address	Coleford
	Gloucestershire
	GL16 8HG
Telephone	01594 810000
e-mail	karen.toomer@fdean.gov.uk
Report Reference	
number	
Date	July 2015

Executive Summary

Monitoring has not identified any exceedences either within or outside of the existing AQMA in the Forest of Dean District in 2014. This is the first year since 2005, that the NO₂ objective has not been breached at the relevant locations within the district. Revocation of the AQMA is being considered following next year's round of monitoring.

There are no <u>road traffic sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>other transport sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>industrial sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>commercial or domestic sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>fugitive or uncontrolled sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

No new or significantly changed sources have been identified within the district. The Updating and Screening Assessment has <u>not</u> identified the need for a Detailed Assessment within the district.

Lydney Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) was declared in July 2010, with a subsequent Further Assessment submitted to DEFRA in June 2011. Lydney Air Quality Draft Action Plan will be submitted to DEFRA at the end of 2015. Lydney Air Quality Action Plan Progress Reports will be submitted annually as from 2016 as part of the annual review and assessment reports.

In April 2016, a Progress Report which forms part of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) will be submitted to DEFRA. If the NO₂ diffusion tube results exhibit concentrations below the national objectives, it may be possible to revoke the AQMA in 2016.

The monitoring programme comprising existing NO₂ diffusion tube monitoring sites is reviewed on a continuous basis, and if considered necessary, changes are undertaken, either by relocating existing diffusion tube sites, or adding new monitoring sites.

Table of contents

1	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Description of Local Authority Area	1
	1.2	Purpose of Report	2
	1.3	Air Quality Objectives	3
	1.4	Summary of Previous Review and Assessments	4
2	New	Monitoring Data	. 12
	2.1	Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	12
	2.1.1	Automatic Monitoring Sites	12
	2.1.2	Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	12
	2.2	Comparison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality Objectives	15
	2.2.1	Nitrogen Dioxide	15
	2.2.2	PM ₁₀	18
	2.2.3	Sulphur Dioxide	18
	2.2.4	Benzene	18
	2.2.5	Other pollutants monitored	18
	2.2.6	Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives	18
3	Road	d Traffic Sources	. 19
	3.1	Narrow Congested Streets with Residential Properties Close to the Kerb	19
	3.2	Busy Streets Where People May Spend 1-hour or More Close to Traffic	19
	3.3	Roads with a High Flow of Buses and/or HGVs	20
	3.4	Junctions	20
	3.5	New Roads Constructed or Proposed Since the Last Round of Review and	
	Asses	sment	20
	3.6	Roads with Significantly Changed Traffic Flows	21
	3.7	Bus and Coach Stations	21
4	Othe	er Transport Sources	. 22
	4.1	Airports	22
	4.2	Railways (Diesel and Steam Trains)	22
	4.2.1	Stationary Trains	22
	4.2.2	Moving Trains	23
	4.3	Ports (Shipping)	23
5	Indu	strial Sources	. 24
	5.1	Industrial Installations	24
	5.1.1	New or Proposed Installations for which an Air Quality Assessment has been	
	Carrie	ed Out	24
	5.1.2	Existing Installations where Emissions have Increased Substantially or New	
	Relev	ant Exposure has been Introduced	24

Forest of Dean District Council

	5.1.3	New or Significantly Changed Installations with No Previous Air Quality	
	Asses	sment	24
	5.2	Major Fuel (Petrol) Storage Depots	25
	5.3	Petrol Stations	25
	5.4	Poultry Farms	25
6	Com	mercial and Domestic Sources	26
	6.1	Biomass Combustion – Individual Installations	
	6.2	Biomass Combustion – Combined Impacts	26
	6.3	Domestic Solid-Fuel Burning	27
7	Fugi	tive or Uncontrolled Sources	28
8	Con	clusions and Proposed Actions	29
	8.1	Conclusions from New Monitoring Data	29
	8.2	Conclusions from Assessment of Sources	29
	8.3	Proposed Actions	29
9	Refe	rences	

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of LA	AQM in
England	3
Table 2.1 Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	14
Table 2.2 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes in 2014	16
Table 2.3 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2010 to 2014)	17

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 – Forest of Dean	2
Figure 1.2 - Map of Lydney AQMA Boundaries	8
Figure 2.1 Map(s) of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites (if applicable)	13

Appendices

- Appendix A: QA/QC Data
- Appendix B: Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites
- Appendix C: List of Permitted Installations

1 Introduction

1.1 Description of Local Authority Area

The Forest of Dean is a rural community situated in Gloucestershire. The district is made up of four major towns (Lydney, Coleford, Cinderford and Newent) surrounded by numerous villages, with the remainder of the district comprising of wooded areas and open space. The main industry is manufacturing and primary industry with many light engineering firms. The population is just over 80,000 with approximately 32,000 households. The main routes through the District include the M50 in the north of the District and numerous A-roads (e.g. A48 and the A40) (see map - Figure 1.1). There are no major industrial areas within the district or close-by that significantly impact on air quality. The industries within the district that emit any of the prescribed pollutants are not located close to relevant public exposure. The scale on which they operate does not produce emissions that significantly affect local air quality.

Figure 1.1 – Forest of Dean



1.2 Purpose of Report

This report fulfils the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management process as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995), the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007 and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents. The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where exceedences are considered likely, the local authority must then declare an Air Quality Management

Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

The objective of this Updating and Screening Assessment is to identify any matters that have changed which may lead to a risk of an air quality objective being exceeded. A checklist approach and screening tools are used to identify significant new sources or changes and whether there is a need for a Detailed Assessment. The USA report should provide an update of any outstanding information requested previously in Review and Assessment reports.

1.3 Air Quality Objectives

The air quality objectives applicable to LAQM **in England** are set out in the Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000 (SI 928), The Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 (SI 3043), and are shown in Table 1.1. This table shows the objectives in units of microgrammes per cubic metre $\mu g/m^3$ (milligrammes per cubic metre, mg^{/m³} for carbon monoxide) with the number of exceedences in each year that are permitted (where applicable).

	Air Quality	^v Objective	Date to be
Pollutant	Concentration	Measured as	achieved by
Bonzono	16.25 µg/m ³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Delizene	5.00 μg/m ³	Running annual mean	31.12.2010
1,3-Butadiene	2.25 µg/m ³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Carbon monoxide	10.0 mg/m ³	Running 8-hour mean	31.12.2003
Lood	0.5 µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2004
Lead	0.25 µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2008
Nitrogen dioxide	200 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2005
	40 µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2005
Particles (PM ₁₀) (gravimetric)	50 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	40 µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2004

Table 1.1 Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of LAQM in England

	350 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2004
Sulphur dioxide	125 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	266 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31.12.2005

1.4 Summary of Previous Review and Assessments

The Forest of Dean District Council has previously undertaken the following review and assessment reports:

Round 2

- Updating and Screening Assessment 2003 (USA 2003)¹
- 2. Progress Report 2004 (PR 2004)²
- 3. Progress Report 2005 (PR2005)³

Round 3

- 1. Updating and Screening Assessment 2006 (USA 2006)⁴
- 2. Progress Report 2007 (PR 2007)⁵
- 3. Detailed Assessment 2009 (DA 2009)⁶

Round 4

- 1. Updating and Screening Assessment 2009 (USA 2009)⁷
- 2. Progress Report 2010 (PR 2010)⁸
- 3. Progress Report 2011 (PR2011)⁹

Round 5

- 1. Updating and Screening Assessment 2012 (USA 2012)¹⁰
- 2. Progress Report 2013 (PR 2013)¹¹
- 3. Progress Report 2014 (PR2014)¹²

FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Forest_of_Dean_Air_Quality_Progress_Report_2011.pdf ¹⁰ Updating and Screening Assessment 2012 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council

Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_PR2013.pdf

Updating and Screening Assessment 2003 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council

² Progress Report 2004, Forest of Dean District Council

³ Progress Report 2005, Forest of Dean District Council

Updating and Screening Assessment 2006 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council

⁵ Progress Report 2007, Forest of Dean District Council

⁶ Detailed Assessment 2009 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-

FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Detailed_Assessment_Lydney_2008.pdf

⁷ Updating and Screening Assessment 2009 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council

http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-

FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Forest_of_Dean_Air_Quality_Updating_and_Screening_Assessment_2009.pdf ⁸ Progress Report 2010, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-

FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Forest_of_Dean_Air_Quality_Progress_Report_2010.pdf
⁹ Progress Report 2011, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-

http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_USA_2012.pdf ¹¹ Progress Report 2013, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-

² Progress Report 2014, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-

Conclusions of Updating and Screening Assessment 2009

Three sites in the town of Lydney exceeded the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective of $40\mu g/m^3$. These sites will be within the proposed Lydney Air Quality Management Area to be declared shortly (end of 2009, beginning of 2010). There are no issues for any other pollutants.

There are no <u>road traffic sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>other transport sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>industrial sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>commercial or domestic sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>fugitive or uncontrolled sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

At the end of 2009, beginning of 2010, the Lydney AQMA will be declared for exceedences of the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective. A Further Assessment and Air Quality Action Plan will be developed in 2010/11. In April 2010 a Progress Report which forms part of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) will be submitted.

Conclusions of Progress Report 2010

There are four diffusion tube location sites (Ref. LYD01, LYD03, LYD05 and LYD06) within the Forest of Dean District where the annual mean objective of $40\mu g/m^3$ for NO₂ was exceeded in 2009. These locations are all within the Lydney AQMA, which will be declared in July 2010.

 NO_2 levels identified in Newnham–on-Severn suggest that there may be a need for further monitoring in this area. The calculated NO2 annual mean concentration of $37.9\mu g/m^3$ is within 10% of the annual mean objective. It is considered that two further diffusion tube sites will be added to the monitoring round in 2010.

The Forest of Dean District Council will continue to monitor the results from the three NO_2 diffusion tube locations in Newnham-on-Severn and if deemed necessary, will undertake a Detailed Assessment for NO_2 when required.

The levels of NO_2 at all other locations within the District in 2009 are generally comparable with levels from the previous two years.

It is considered that no other pollutants are at levels, which will exceed the air quality objectives.

There are a number of planning developments that have been approved within the District and they are at various stages in their development. These include:

- Land at St Whites Farm, St Whites Road, Cinderford, Gloucestershire -Erection of 169 dwellings with associated garaging/parking facilities. Construction of new vehicular and pedestrian accesses.
- Land South Of Lakeside Avenue, Tutnalls, Lydney, Gloucestershire Erection of 200 residential units.
- Land South Of Onslow Road, Newent Erection of 141 dwellings with associated car parking, private amenity space, public open space, landscaping and two vehicular accesses from Onslow Road.

None of these developments have been identified as likely to have an adverse impact on air quality in their area.

The Forest of Dean District Council monitors sites in Whitecroft and St Briavels for SO_2 and O_3 , respectively. The results from the diffusion tube analysis would indicate that the levels are in no way comparable to their air quality objectives and therefore, will not be monitored after July 2010.

Monitoring of Gloucestershire's most recent LTP2 targets shows that, whilst there is still work to be done and difficult issues to tackle, sound progress is being made towards providing a safe and sustainable transport system (Annual Progress Reports to the Gloucestershire Local Transport Plans 2009).

Conclusions of Progress Report 2011

There are five locations where the annual mean objective of 40μ g/m³ for NO₂ was exceeded in 2010 - High Street (Ref. LYD01, LYD03 and LYD04), Hill Street (Ref. LYD06) and Bream Road (Ref. LYD09). These locations are all within the Lydney AQMA, which was declared in July 2010.

NO₂ levels in Newnham–on-Severn identified in Progress Report 2010 suggested that there may be a need for further monitoring in this area. In 2010, further diffusion tube sites were established.

The Forest of Dean District Council will continue to monitor the results from the four NO_2 diffusion tube locations in Newnham-on-Severn and if deemed necessary, will undertake a Detailed Assessment for NO_2 .

The levels of NO_2 at all other locations within the District in 2010 are generally comparable with levels from the previous two years and there are no significant changes in concentrations.

It is considered that no other pollutants are at levels which will exceed the air quality objectives.

There are a number of planning developments that have been approved within the District and they are at various stages in their development. These include:

- Land at Angel Farm, Newland Street, Coleford, Gloucestershire, GL16 8NA Erection of 100 residential units.
- Land at St Whites Farm, St Whites Road, Cinderford, Gloucestershire -Erection of 169 dwellings with associated garaging/parking facilities. Construction of new vehicular and pedestrian accesses.
- Land South Of Lakeside Avenue, Tutnalls, Lydney, Gloucestershire Erection of 200 residential units.
- Land South Of Onslow Road, Newent Erection of 141 dwellings with associated car parking, private amenity space, public open space, landscaping and two vehicular accesses from Onslow Road.

None of these developments have been identified as likely to have an adverse impact on air quality in their area.

The Local transport Plan 'The Gloucestershire Local Transport Plan 2011-2026' (LTP3)¹³, to be published April 2011, addresses national transport priorities at the local level and has aligned these to four main themes, which are:-

- A greener, healthier Gloucestershire;
- Sustainable Economic Growth;
- A safer, securer transport system;
- Good access to services.

An updated draft version of 'A County-wide Air Quality Strategy for Gloucestershire (May 2010)¹⁴ has been produced.

¹³ The Gloucestershire Local Transport Plan 2011-2026' (LTP3), http://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/ltp3

¹⁴ A County-wide Air Quality Strategy for Gloucestershire (May 2010)



Figure 1.2 - Map of Lydney AQMA Boundaries

Figure 2 – Lydney Air Quality Management Area boundary¹⁵

The area shown on the above map (Figure 1.2) outlined is designated as an Air Quality Management Area (the designated area). The designated area in Lydney incorporates roads affronting residential properties in High Street, Hill Street and Newerne Street from Temple Way junction (A) to Albert Street Junction (D); and Bream Road from High Street junction (B) to approximately 75m past the entrance to Lydney C of E Primary School (F); and Forest Road from Hill Street (C) to just past 17 Forest Road (E).

This area is designated in relation to a likely breach of the nitrogen dioxide (annual mean) objective as specified in the Air Quality Standards Regulations 2007. Lydney AQMA was declared July 2010. A Further Assessment was submitted to DEFRA in June 2011.

¹⁵ Detailed Assessment 2009 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council

 $http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Detailed_Assessment_Lydney_2008.pdf$

Conclusions of Updating and Screening Report 2012¹⁶

Monitoring has not identified any exceedences at relevant locations outside Lydney Air Quality Management Area (AQMA).

Three sites in the town of Lydney exceeded the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective of 40µg/m³. These sites are within the Lydney Air Quality Management Area which was declared in July 2010. There are no issues for any other pollutants. There are no road traffic sources of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>other transport sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>industrial sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>commercial or domestic sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>fugitive or uncontrolled sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

No new or significantly changed sources have been identified within the district.

The Updating and Screening Assessment has not identified the need for a Detailed Assessment within the district.

Lydney Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) was declared in July 2010, with a subsequent Further Assessment submitted to DEFRA in June 2011. Lydney Air Quality Draft Action Plan will be submitted to DEFRA at the beginning of 2013.

Lydney Air Quality Action Plan Progress Reports will be submitted annually as from 2014 as part of the annual review and assessment reports.

In April 2013 a Progress Report which forms part of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) will be submitted to DEFRA.

Monitoring programme - existing nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube monitoring sites are reviewed on a continuous basis, and if considered necessary, changes are undertaken, either by relocating existing diffusion tube sites, or adding a monitoring site.

¹⁶ Updating and Screening Assessment 2012, http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_USA_2012.pdf

Conclusions of Progress Report 2013¹⁷

There are seven locations where the annual mean objective of 40μ g/m³ for NO₂ was exceeded in 2012 - High Street (LYD01, LYD03 and LYD04), Hill Street (LYD06/13/14), Newerne Street (LYD08) and Bream Road (LYD08, LYD09). These locations are all within the Lydney AQMA, which was declared in July 2010. The annual levels of NO₂ at all other locations within the District in 2012 are generally comparable with levels from the previous three years, however there was a slight increase of the annual levels in 2012 as compared with 2011.

Forest of Dean District Council has examined the concentrations from all monitoring locations. Concentrations of NO₂ outside of the Lydney AQMA are all below the objective at relevant locations; therefore, there is no need to proceed to a Detailed Assessment at this stage.

It is considered that no other pollutants are at levels which will exceed the air quality objectives.

There are a number of planning developments that have been approved within the District and are at various stages in their development. There are also two supermarket planning developments that have not been approved and pending within the District.

The Forest of Dean District Council will continue to closely monitor the districts NO_2 diffusion tube concentrations, and if deemed necessary, will undertake a Detailed Assessment for NO_2 .

Lydney AQMA-01 was declared in July 2010, and a Further Assessment was undertaken July 2011. An Action Plan for Lydney AQMA is currently being developed.

The Forest of Dean District Council has reviewed and updated certain monitoring locations by making them more representative of the impacts of traffic in those areas. In 2014 (Round 5), Progress Report will be undertaken. Progress Reports are required in the intervening years between the three-yearly Updating and Screening Assessment reports. Their purpose is to maintain continuity in the Local Air Quality Management process.

¹⁷ Progress Report 2013, Forest of Dean District Council, http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_PR2013.pdf

Conclusions of Progress Report 2014¹⁸

There are two locations where the annual mean objective of 40µg/m³ for NO₂ was exceeded in 2013 - High Street (LYD01), and Hill Street (Triplicates -LYD06/13/14). These locations are all within the Lydney AQMA, which was declared in July 2010, however, the annual mean for these two sites were all lower than the 2012 results. The annual levels of NO₂ at all other locations within the District in 2013 are generally comparable with levels from the previous four years, however there was a decrease at every site from the levels in 2012. Overall, there was a decrease of 13.7% between the 2013 and 2012 results.

Forest of Dean District Council has examined the concentrations from all monitoring locations. Concentrations of NO₂ outside of the Lydney AQMA are all below the objective at relevant locations; therefore, there is no need to proceed to a Detailed Assessment at this stage.

It is considered that no other pollutants are at levels which will exceed the air quality objectives.

There are a number of planning developments that have been approved within the District at various stages in their development. Some of these developments have had Air Quality assessments undertaken but have been judged to have a negligible effect on Air Quality in their respective areas.

The Forest of Dean District Council will continue to closely monitor the districts NO₂ diffusion tube concentrations, and if deemed necessary, will undertake a Detailed Assessment for NO₂.

Lydney AQMA was declared in July 2010, and a Further Assessment was undertaken July 2011. An Action Plan for Lydney AQMA is currently being developed and the draft should be submitted by the end of 2014.

The Forest of Dean District Council has reviewed and updated certain monitoring locations by making them more representative of the impact of traffic in those areas. In 2015, an 'Air Quality Updating and Screening Assessment' will be undertaken which will review Air Quality results from the years 2012-2014 and take note of all potential sources that could have detrimental effect on Air Quality in the District.

¹⁸ Progress Report 2014, Forest of Dean District Council, http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_PR_2014.pdf

2 New Monitoring Data

2.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

2.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

Forest of Dean District Council does not undertake any continuous monitoring within its administrative area.

2.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

The Forest of Dean District Council has been undertaking NO₂ monitoring with diffusion tubes at 30 sites in 2014 (Appendix E - Map of monitoring locations). The diffusion tubes were supplied and analysed by Gradko Environmental Services (QA/QC₂₁ data can be found in Appendix D). Tubes were prepared using 50µl of 20% Triethanolamine in Water. The tube preparation and subsequent analysis follow the procedures in the harmonised "Practical Guidance" document²². All diffusion tubes are stored, handled and exposed in accordance with the relevant guidance. All diffusion tubes have a monthly exposure period.

Where necessary diffusion tubes with less that 75% (nine months) data has been annualised using the methodology outlined in Box 3.2 of the Technical Guidance (LAQM.TG(09). There have been no sites with less than 9 months of data capture; therefore no sites have been annualised.

The Forest of Dean District Council does not undertake any co-location studies; so bias adjustment factors were obtained from the National Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet (Version 03/12) (Appendix D).

2012 - 0.97 for 34 studies

- 2013 0.95 for 36 studies
- 2014 0.91 for 16 studies

Table 2.1 shows non-automatic (diffusion tube) monitoring sites for 2014.



Figure 2.1 Map(s) of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites (if applicable)

Table 2.1 Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Reference	Y OS Grid Reference	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Relevant Exposure?	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (m)	Worst- Case Exposure?
CIN01	Cinderford – St Whites Terrace	Roadside	365458	212855	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	4m	Yes
CIN02	Cinderford – Berisford Court	Urban Centre	365814	214014	NO ₂	No	Y (2m)	1m	Yes
CIN03	Cinderford – Bottom High St	Roadside	365291	214732	NO ₂	No	Y (2<1m)	1m	Yes
COL01	Coleford –Gloucester Road	Suburban	357629	210787	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	2m	Yes
COL02	Coleford – Market Place	Suburban	357553	210757	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	7m	Yes
COL03	Coleford – Old Vicarage Court	Suburban	357742	210580	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	7m	Yes
HUN02	Huntley - The Red Lion junction	Roadside	372198	219359	NO ₂	No	N (<1m)	1m	Yes
LYD01	Lydney – Top High St	Roadside	363142	203074	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	2m	Yes
LYD02	Lydney – Newerne Street	Urban Centre	363523	203261	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	4m	Yes
LYD03	Lydney – Mid High St	Suburban	363025	202964	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	1m	Yes
LYD04	Lydney – Bottom High St	Suburban	362964	202909	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	1m	Yes
LYD05	Lydney - Regents Arcade	Urban Centre	363443	203206	NO ₂	Yes	Y (1m)	1m	Yes
LYD06	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 1of3)	Suburban	363189	203110	NO ₂	Yes	N (1m)	1m	Yes
LYD08	Lydney – Mid Bream Road	Roadside	363107	203217	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	2m	Yes
LYD09	Lydney – Top Bream Road	Kerbside	363046	203322	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	<1m	Yes
LYD10	Lydney – Old Chip Shop, Forest Road	Roadside	363405	203237	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	2m	Yes
LYD11	Lydney – Forest Road	Kerbside	363391	203337	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	<1m	Yes
LYD12	Lydney –Newerne Street	Urban Centre	363607	203322	NO ₂	Yes	Y (<1m)	2m	Yes
LYD13	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 2of3)	Suburban	363189	203110	NO ₂	Yes	N (1m)	1m	Yes
LYD14	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 3of3)	Suburban	363189	203110	NO ₂	Yes	N (1m)	1m	Yes
LYD15	Lydney – Highfield Lane	Suburban	364087	204137	NO ₂	Yes	N (1m)	1m	Yes
MIT01	Mitcheldean – The Merrin	Roadside	366483	218277	NO ₂	No	Y (2m)	1m	Yes
NAI01	Nailbridge – Crossroads	Roadside	364555	216226	NO ₂	No	N (<1m)	1m	Yes
NEW01	Newent – opposite Clifton House, High Street	Suburban	372058	226159	NO ₂	No	N (1m)	1m	Yes
NEW02	Newent – Church Street	Urban Centre	372288	225852	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	2m	Yes
NOS02	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	369038	211590	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	2m	Yes
NOS03	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	369135	211870	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	3m	Yes
NOS04	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	369200	211929	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	3m	Yes
NOS05	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	369040	211679	NO ₂	No	Y (<1m)	12m	Yes
WOS01	Westbury-on-Severn - High St - bus stop	Roadside	371649	214054	NO ₂	No	N (5m)	2m	Yes

2.2 Comparison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality Objectives

2.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide

Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data

Table 2.2 indicates that all locations are below the annual mean objective of $40\mu g/m^3$ for NO₂ in 2014.

None of the sites are close to an annual mean of $60\mu g/m^3$ suggesting that there are no concerns for the 1-hour objective. Forest of Dean District Council will not be undertaking a Detailed Assessment for NO₂ in 2015.

Table 2.2 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes in 2014

					Data Capture		Annual mean concentration
				Triplicate or	2014	Data annualised/	(Bias Adjustment factor =
			Within	Collocated	(Number of	Distance	0.91)
Site ID	Location	Site Type	AQMA?	Tube	Months or %)	corrected (Y/N)	2014 (μg/m³)
CIN01	Cinderford – St Whites Terrace	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	21.2
CIN02	Cinderford – Berisford Court	Urban Centre	N	Ν	12 months	N/N	23.4
CIN03	Cinderford – Bottom High St	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	22.7
COL01	Coleford –Gloucester Road	Suburban	N	N	11 months	N/N	32.1
COL02	Coleford – Market Place	Suburban	N	N	11 months	N/N	21.6
COL03	Coleford – Old Vicarage Court	Suburban	N	N	12 months	N/N	22.3
HUN02	Huntley - The Red Lion junction	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	20.5
LYD01	Lydney – Top High St	Roadside	Y	N	12 months	N/N	38.0
LYD02	Lydney – Newerne Street	Urban Centre	Y	N	12 months	N/N	20.7
LYD03	Lydney – Mid High St	Suburban	Y	N	12 months	N/N	35.6
LYD04	Lydney – Bottom High St	Suburban	Y	N	10 months	N/N	34.5
LYD05	Lydney - Regents Arcade	Urban Centre	Y	N	12 months	N/N	33.7
LYD06	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 1of3)	Suburban	Y	Y	12 months	N/N	38.6
LYD08	Lydney – Mid Bream Road	Roadside	Y	N	12 months	N/N	38.1
LYD09	Lydney – Top Bream Road	Kerbside	Y	N	12 months	N/N	36.9
LYD10	Lydney – Old Chip Shop, Forest Road	Roadside	Y	N	11 months	N/N	22.7
LYD11	Lydney – Forest Road	Kerbside	Y	N	12 months	N/N	16.2
LYD12	Lydney – Newerne Street	Urban Centre	Y	N	12 months	N/N	28.8
LYD13	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 2of3)	Suburban	Y	Y	12 months	N/N	36.8
LYD14	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 3of3)	Suburban	Y	Y	12 months	N/N	38.2
LYD15	Lydney – Highfield Lane	Suburban	N	N	12 months	N/N	10.7
MIT01	Mitcheldean – The Merrin	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	27.2
NAI01	Nailbridge – Crossroads	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	29.8
NEW01	Newent – opposite Clifton House, High Street	Suburban	N	N	12 months	N/N	22.4
NEW02	Newent – Church Street	Urban Centre	N	N	12 months	N/N	23.7
NOS02	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	28.3
NOS03	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	26.7
NOS04	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	N	N	11 months	N/N	29.9
NOS05	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	N	N	12 months	N/N	24.1
WOS01	Westbury-on-Severn - High St - bus stop	Roadside	N	N	11 months	N/N	19.1

Table 2.3 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2010 to 2014)

				Annual mean concentration (adjusted using bias				
				assessment factor*) µg/m ³		μ g/m ³		
			Within	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Site ID	Monitoring Location	Site Type	AQMA?	(0.85)*	(0.89)*	(0.97)*	(0.95)*	(0.91)*
CIN01	Cinderford – St Whites Terrace	Roadside	Ν	27.8	22.8	25.1	23.5	21.2
CIN02	Cinderford – Berisford Court	Urban Centre	Ν	24.4	22.5	26.0	23.8	23.4
CIN03	Cinderford – Bottom High St	Roadside	Ν	26.5	21.7	25.0	23.5	22.7
COL01	Coleford –Gloucester Road	Suburban	Ν	36.5	35.4	37.8	34.0	32.1
COL02	Coleford – Market Place	Suburban	Ν			25.8	23.6	21.6
COL03	Coleford – Old Vicarage Court	Suburban	Ν			29.0	24.0	22.3
HUN02	Huntley - The Red Lion junction	Roadside	Ν	25.6	20.2	26.0	22.1	20.5
LYD01	Lydney – Top High St	Roadside	Y	46.4	40.8	49.7	41.4	38.0
LYD02	Lydney – Newerne Street	Urban Centre	Y	23.9	22.8	24.7	21.0	20.7
LYD03	Lydney – Mid High St	Suburban	Y	46.9	39.2	45.1	37.1	35.6
LYD04	Lydney – Bottom High St	Suburban	Y	40.7	34.6	44.1	38.2	34.5
LYD05	Lydney - Regents Arcade	Urban Centre	Y	39.8	38.2	42.5	34.3	33.7
LYD06	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 1of3)	Suburban	Y	46.6	41.5	45.7	40.8	38.6
LYD08	Lydney – Mid Bream Road	Roadside	Y	39.7	39.6	44.5	37.3	38.1
LYD09	Lydney – Top Bream Road	Kerbside	Y	46.0	44.6	47.5	34.8	36.9
LYD10	Lydney – Old Chip Shop, Forest Road	Roadside	Y	31.9	26.3	30.0	26.9	22.7
LYD11	Lydney – Forest Road	Kerbside	Y	24.8	16.5	20.3	17.8	16.2
LYD12	Lydney –Newerne Street	Urban Centre	Y		32.0	36.0	31.7	28.8
LYD13	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 2of3)	Suburban	Y		40.1	46.4	40.5	36.8
LYD14	Lydney – Bream Junction (Triplicate 3of3)	Suburban	Y		39.0	44.3	40.3	38.2
LYD15	Lydney – Highfield Lane	Suburban	Ν			15.5	11.1	10.7
MIT01	Mitcheldean – The Merrin	Roadside	Ν	31.5	26.2	31.7	28.1	27.2
NAI01	Nailbridge – Crossroads	Roadside	Ν	35.0	35.4	37.1	30.6	29.8
NEW01	Newent – opposite Clifton House, High Street	Suburban	Ν	27.4	22.3	24.3	24.0	22.4
NEW02	Newent – Church Street	Urban Centre	Ν	28.4	26.2	27.9	24.9	23.7
NOS02	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	Ν	35.7	32.2	33.8	30.1	28.3
NOS03	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	Ν	30.0	32.1	31.1	27.1	26.7
NOS04	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	N	37.3	30.4	35.5	28.2	29.9
NOS05	Newnham-on-Severn - High St	Roadside	Ν	35.4	26.1	27.9	25.6	24.1
WOS01	Westbury-on-Severn - High St - bus stop	Roadside	Ν	27	23.6	24.3	21.4	19.1

Table 2.3 shows results of nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube concentrations over a five year period between 2010 and 2014. Results do not indicate any significant trends.

2.2.2 PM₁₀

Forest of Dean District Council has not undertaken any PM10 monitoring within its administrative area since the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009.

2.2.3 Sulphur Dioxide

The Forest of Dean District Council has not undertaken any sulphur dioxide monitoring within its administrative area since the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009.

2.2.4 Benzene

Forest of Dean District Council has not undertaken any benzene monitoring within its administrative area since the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009.

2.2.5 Other pollutants monitored

The Forest of Dean District Council has not undertaken any other pollutant monitoring within its administrative area since the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009.

<u>Carbon Monoxide</u> - Forest of Dean District Council has not undertaken any carbon monoxide monitoring within their administrative area since the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009.

<u>Lead</u> - Forest of Dean District Council has not undertaken any lead monitoring within its administrative area since the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009.

1,3-Butadiene - Forest of Dean District Council has not undertaken any 1,3-

Butadiene monitoring within its administrative area since the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009.

2.2.6 Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives

Forest of Dean District Council has examined the results from monitoring in the district. Concentrations are all below the objectives at relevant locations, therefore there is no need to proceed to a Detailed Assessment.

3 Road Traffic Sources

Emissions from road traffic are the most significant source of influence on air quality within Forest of Dean District. Previous reviews have established that levels of NO₂ may be of concern and, therefore, nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube monitoring takes place at 30 sites throughout the district. There are no roads within the district with a significant percentage of buses or HGVs.

3.1 Narrow Congested Streets with Residential Properties Close to the Kerb

Concentrations of NO_2 are often higher where traffic is slow moving, with stop/start driving, and where buildings on either side reduce dispersion - Section A.1 of Box 5.3 of LAQM.TG(09).

There are no new areas that meet the criteria, however, the NO₂ monitoring network addresses any other areas of concern.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified congested streets with a flow above 5,000 vehicles per day and residential properties close to the kerb, that have not been adequately considered in previous rounds of Review and Assessment.

3.2 Busy Streets Where People May Spend 1-hour or More Close to Traffic

There are some street locations where individuals may regularly spend 1-hour or more, for example, streets with many shops and streets with outdoor cafes and bars - Section A.2 of Box 5.3 of TG(09). Having reviewed potential locations within Forest of Dean Council's administrative area, no busy streets of concern have been identified since the last round of Updating and Screening Assessment in 2012 where people may spend 1-hour or more close to traffic.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified busy streets where people may spend 1 hour or more close to traffic.

3.3 Roads with a High Flow of Buses and/or HGVs.

Levels of NO_2 and PM_{10} need to be considered where there is an unusually high proportion of buses and/or HGVs - Section A.3 of Box 5.3 of LAQM TG(09). Having reviewed potential locations within Forest of Dean Council's administrative area, no locations of concern have been identified since the last round of Updating and Screening Assessment in 2012.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified roads with high flows of buses/HDVs.

3.4 Junctions

Levels of NO₂ and PM₁₀ need to be considered at busy junctions due to the combined impact of traffic emissions from more than one road and the resultant higher emissions due to stop/start driving. - Section A.4 of Box 5.3 of TG(09). Having reviewed potential locations within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area, no busy junctions of concern have been identified since the last round of Updating and Screening Assessment in 2012.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified busy junctions/busy roads.

3.5 New Roads Constructed or Proposed Since the Last Round of Review and Assessment

Levels of NO_2 and PM_{10} need to be considered for newly constructed or proposed roads - Section A.5 of Box 5.3 of LAQM TG(09).

Having reviewed potential locations within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area, one new spine road has been proposed since the last round of Updating and Screening Assessment in 2012. This road is part of a large planning application (P0663/14/OUT) for a site to comprise a housing development, a college, a hotel, office buildings and a spine road.

An air quality assessment was undertaken as part of an Environmental Impact Assessment and the Environmental Statement for the development concluded that the local emission sources associated with the proposed development would have a neglible effect on existing roadside receptors, have a negligible effect on existing receptors within Cinderford and the surrounding area, and have a negligible effect on regional emissions of greenhouse gases and local air quality pollutants. No exceedances of the national objectives have been predicted for NO₂ or PM₁₀.

Forest of Dean District Council has assessed new/proposed roads meeting the criteria in Section A.5 of Box 5.3 in TG(09), and concluded that it will not be necessary to proceed to a Detailed Assessment.

3.6 Roads with Significantly Changed Traffic Flows

Levels of NO₂ and PM₁₀ need to be considered for any roads where there has been a "large" increase in traffic flow. An increase of more than 25% is considered "large" - Section A.6 of Box 5.3 of LAQM.TG(09). Having reviewed traffic flow data within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area, no roads with a large increase in traffic flow have been identified since the last round of Updating and Screening Assessment in 2012.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified roads with significantly changed traffic flows.

3.7 Bus and Coach Stations

Levels of NO₂, both the annual mean and the 1-hour objective, must be considered for bus stations or sections of bus stations that are not enclosed, and where there is relevant exposure, including at nearby residential properties. - Section A.7 of Box 5.3 of LAQM.TG(09). Forest of Dean District Council has no bus or coach station that meets the assessment criteria.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no relevant bus stations in the Local Authority area.

4 Other Transport Sources

4.1 Airports

Levels of NO_2 from airports must be considered as aircraft are potentially significant sources of Nitrogen Oxides (NO_X) emissions, especially during take-off - Section B.1 of Box 5.4 of LAQM TG(09). Forest of Dean District Council has no airports within their administrative area.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no airports in the Local Authority area.

4.2 Railways (Diesel and Steam Trains)

Stationary locomotives, both diesel and coal fired, can give rise to high levels of SO₂ close to the point of emission. Recent evidence suggests that moving diesel locomotives, in sufficient numbers, can also give rise to high NO₂ concentrations close to the track. These two potentially significant sources are considered separately below - Section B.2 of Box 5.4 of LAQM.TG(09).

4.2.1 Stationary Trains

Measurements were made on the Council's GIS mapping system to establish that there are no relevant exposure sites within 15m of the track at Lydney Junction station. Trains are also not regularly stationary for 15 minutes or more. There are no relevant exposure sites within 15m of the track of the Dean Forest Railway, which is a privately owned railway, operating steam and diesel locomotives. The railway operates from Lydney to Parkend.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no locations where diesel or steam trains are regularly stationary for periods of 15 minutes or more, with potential for relevant exposure within 15m.

4.2.2 Moving Trains

Forest of Dean District does not include any of the rail lines with a heavy traffic of diesel passenger trains, as listed in Table 5.1 of TG(09). Nor is Forest of Dean District Council one of the authorities listed in Table 2 of the 'Guidance on Assessing Emissions from Railway Traffic'¹⁹ document.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no locations with a large number of movements of diesel locomotives, and potential long-term relevant exposure within 30m.

4.3 Ports (Shipping)

Large ships generally burn oils with a high Sulphur content in their main engines (bunker oils). If there are sufficient movements in a port they can give rise to a sufficient number of 15-minute periods above 266 μ g/m³, as to exceed the 15-minute objective for SO₂. Forest of Dean District Council has no commercial ports within the administrative area.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no ports or shipping that meet the specified criteria within the Local Authority area.

¹⁹ Guidance on Assessing Emissions from Railway Locomotives, 2009; http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/Railway_Locomotives_100209.pdf

5 Industrial Sources

5.1 Industrial Installations

Although industrial sources are unlikely to make a significant local contribution to annual mean concentrations they may be significant in terms of the short-term objectives, especially if there is an impact from several sources. All of the regulated pollutants need to be considered, although those most at risk of requiring further work are SO_2 , NO_2 , PM_{10} and Benzene – Section C.1 of Box 5.5 of LAQM.TG(09).

5.1.1 New or Proposed Installations for which an Air Quality Assessment has been Carried Out

There are no new or proposed installations for which an air quality assessment was, or would be required.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no new or proposed industrial installations for which planning approval has been granted within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

5.1.2 Existing Installations where Emissions have Increased Substantially or New Relevant Exposure has been Introduced

There are no existing installations with substantially increased emissions and none with any new relevant exposure introduced.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no industrial installations with substantially increased emissions or new relevant exposure in their vicinity within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

5.1.3 New or Significantly Changed Installations with No Previous Air Quality Assessment

There are no new or significantly changed installations with no previous air quality assessments.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no new or proposed industrial installations for which planning approval has been granted within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

5.2 Major Fuel (Petrol) Storage Depots

Major petrol fuel depots could emit sufficient benzene to put the national objective at risk of being exceeded, especially if combined with higher levels from nearby busy roads – Section C.2 of Box 5.5 of LAQM TG(09). There are no major fuel (petrol) storage depots within the Local Authority area.

There are no major fuel (petrol) storage depots within the Local Authority area.

5.3 Petrol Stations

Petrol stations could emit sufficient benzene to put the national objective at risk of being exceeded, especially if combined with higher levels from nearby busy roads - Section C.3 of Box 5.5 of LAQM TG(09). Forest of Dean District Council has considered busy roads as defined and all petrol stations located on them. None have relevant exposure within 10 metres of the pumps.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no petrol stations meeting the specified criteria.

5.4 Poultry Farms

There is the potential for localised exceedences of the PM_{10} objectives associated with emissions from certain large poultry farms - Section C.4 of Box 5.5 of LAQM TG(09). There is one such farm which is permitted by the Environment Agency: Stone End Farm, Churcham, where 900,000 Chicken broilers are reared within units with mechanically, side-ventilated housing. This is above the criteria of 400,000 birds, however there are no relevant exposures within 100m of the units – see Appendix C.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no poultry farms meeting the specified criteria.

6 Commercial and Domestic Sources

6.1 Biomass Combustion – Individual Installations

Biomass burning can lead to an increase in PM_{10} emissions, due to the process of combustion – aerosol formation from volatile materials distilled from the wood is also an issue. Compared to conventional gas-burning, biomass burning can also result in an increase in the overall NO_X emissions due to the fuel-derived portion that is not present in gas combustion - Section D.1a of Box 5.8 LAQM.TG(09). Forest of Dean District Council has received several enquiries regarding the necessity for consideration of biomass boilers under the Clean Air Act 1993. All such boilers were below 50kW.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no biomass combustion plant in the Local Authority area.

6.2 Biomass Combustion – Combined Impacts

There is the potential that many small biomass combustion installations (including domestic solid-fuel burning), whilst individually acceptable, could in combination lead to unacceptably high PM₁₀ concentrations, particularly in areas where PM₁₀ concentrations are close to or above the objectives. The impact of domestic biomass combustion in most areas is thought to be small at the time of writing, but could become more important in future - Section D.1b of Box 5.8 LAQM.TG(09). There are only a few isolated biomass boilers within Forest of Dean District Council. There are no areas that would meet the criteria as set out in the Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(09). 'Technical Guidance: Screening assessment for biomass boilers'²⁰ was also consulted.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no biomass combustion plant in the Local Authority area.

²⁰ Technical Guidance: Screening assessment for biomass boilers Report to the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and the Devolved Administrations, ED48673005/R2655,Issue Number 1, July 2008; http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/reports/cat18/0806261519_methods.pdf

6.3 Domestic Solid-Fuel Burning

There is the potential in areas where significant coal burning takes place for exceedences of the objectives for SO₂ to occur - Section D.2 of chapter 5 LAQM.TG(09). Having reviewed potential locations within Forest of Dean Council's administrative area, no areas of significant coal burning have been identified since the last round of Updating and Screening Assessment in 2012.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no areas of significant domestic fuel use in the Local Authority area.

7 Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources

Potentially elevated levels of PM_{10} can arise from the fugitive emissions from a range of sources including quarrying, stone cutting, gravel extraction and wind-blown dust from stockpiles and dusty surfaces - Section E of Box 5.10 LAQM.TG(09). Having reviewed potential locations within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area, no locations of concern have been identified since the last round of Updating and Screening Assessment in 2012.

Forest of Dean District Council confirms that there are no potential sources of fugitive particulate matter emissions in the Local Authority area.

8 Conclusions and Proposed Actions

8.1 Conclusions from New Monitoring Data

Monitoring has not identified any exceedences either within, or outside of, the existing AQMA in the Forest of Dean District in 2014. This is the first year since 2005, that the NO_2 objective has not been breached at the relevant locations within the district. Revocation of the AQMA is being considered following next year's round of monitoring.

No Detailed assessment is required as this stage.

8.2 Conclusions from Assessment of Sources

There are no <u>road traffic sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>other transport sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>industrial sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>commercial or domestic sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

There are no <u>fugitive or uncontrolled sources</u> of concern within Forest of Dean District Council's administrative area.

No new or significantly changed sources have been identified within the district.

8.3 Proposed Actions

The Updating and Screening Assessment has <u>not</u> identified the need for a Detailed Assessment within the district.

Lydney Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) was declared in July 2010, with a subsequent Further Assessment²¹ submitted to DEFRA in June 2011. Lydney Air Quality Draft Action Plan will be submitted to DEFRA at the end of 2015. Lydney Air Quality Action Plan Progress Reports will be submitted annually as from 2016 as part of the annual review and assessment reports.

²¹ Lydney Air Quality Management Area Further Assessment, June 2011

http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/FoD_FA_2011.pdf

In April 2016, a Progress Report which forms part of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) will be submitted to DEFRA. If the NO₂ diffusion tube results exhibit concentrations below the national objectives, it may be possible to revoke the AQMA in 2016.

The monitoring programme comprising existing NO₂ diffusion tube monitoring sites is reviewed on a continuous basis, and if considered necessary, changes are undertaken, either by relocating existing diffusion tube sites, or adding monitoring sites.

Forest of Dean District Council

9 References

Updating and Screening Assessment 2003, Forest of Dean District Council⁽¹⁾ Progress Report 2004, Forest of Dean District Council ⁽²⁾ Progress Report 2005, Forest of Dean District Council ⁽³⁾ Updating and Screening Assessment 2006, Forest of Dean District Council⁽⁴⁾ Progress Report 2007, Forest of Dean District Council⁽⁵⁾ Detailed Assessment 2009 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Detailed Assessment Lydney 2008.pdf⁽⁶⁾ Updating and Screening Assessment 2009 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Forest_of_Dean_Air_Quality_Updating_and_Screening_As sessment 2009.pdf⁽⁷⁾ Progress Report 2010, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Forest of Dean Air Quality Progress Report 2010.pdf⁽⁸⁾ Progress Report 2011, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Forest of Dean Air Quality Progress Report 2011.pdf⁽⁹⁾ Updating and Screening Assessment 2012 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_USA_2012.pdf⁽¹⁰⁾ Progress Report 2013, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_PR2013.pdf⁽¹¹⁾ Progress Report 2014, Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD PR 2014.pdf (12) The Gloucestershire Local Transport Plan 2011-2026' (LTP3), http://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/ltp3 (13) A County-wide Air Quality Strategy for Gloucestershire (May 2010) (14)

Forest of Dean District Council

Detailed Assessment 2009 (Report), Forest of Dean District Council http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/PestControl-FoodSafety/documents/Pollution/Detailed_Assessment_Lydney_2008.pdf ⁽¹⁵⁾

Updating and Screening Assessment, http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_USA_2012.pdf ⁽¹⁶⁾

Progress Report 2013, Forest of Dean District Council, http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_PR2013.pdf ⁽¹⁷⁾

Progress Report 2014, Forest of Dean District Council, http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/Air%20Quality/FoD_PR_2014.pdf ⁽¹⁸⁾

Guidance on Assessing Emissions from Railway Locomotives, 2009; http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/Railway_Locomotives_100209.pdf ⁽¹⁹⁾

Technical Guidance: Screening assessment for biomass boilers Report to the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and the Devolved Administrations, ED48673005/R2655,Issue Number 1, July 2008; http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/reports/cat18/0806261519_methods.pdf ⁽²⁰⁾

Lydney Air Quality Management Area Further Assessment, June 2011http://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/Assets/EP-Licensing/documents/FoD_FA_2011.pdf ⁽²¹⁾

Summary of Laboratory Performance in WASP NO2 Proficiency Testing Scheme for Rounds 108-115. http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/WASP-NO2-Scheme-for-Rounds-105-113-(April-2009---June-2011).pdf⁽²²⁾

Summary of Laboratory Performance in WASP NO2 Proficiency Testing Scheme for Rounds 108-115. http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/LAQM-WASP-Rounds-117-124-(April-2012--March-2014)-NO2-report.pdf ⁽²³⁾

Appendices

Appendix A: QA/QC Data

Appendix B: Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites

Appendix C: List of Permitted Installations

Appendix A: QA/QC Data

Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factors

The NO₂ diffusion tubes were supplied and analysed by Gradko Internationsl Ltd in the years 2012-2014.

Tubes were prepared using 50µl of 20% triethanolamine in water. The tube preparation and subsequent analysis follow the procedures in the harmonised "Practical Guidance" document. All diffusion tubes are stored, handled and exposed in accordance with the relevant guidance. They are exposed for one month.

Forest of Dean District Council does not undertake any co-location studies; so bias

adjustment factors were obtained from the National Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet.

File Display Display <thdisplay< th=""> <thdisplay< th=""> <thdisplay< th=""> <t< th=""><th>National Diffusion Tube</th><th>Bias Adjus</th><th>tment</th><th>Fact</th><th>tor Spreadsheet</th><th></th><th></th><th>Specadake</th><th>-1 7</th><th>.i 8k</th><th>**: 86/15</th></t<></thdisplay<></thdisplay<></thdisplay<>	National Diffusion Tube	Bias Adjus	tment	Fact	tor Spreadsheet			Specadake	-1 7	.i 8k	**: 86/15
The LORD Register All and Lord All and	Follow the stops below <u>in the correct</u> Data only apply to tuber exposed monthly Whenever presenting adjusted data, you This spreadhreet will be updated every fe	their immedia	to uro.	Thir up S LAQ	rproadrho datod at th Soptombor M Holodor	otuillbo o ond of 2015 kWebrike					
Step 1: Step 2: Step 2: Step 3: Step 4: Liddlichtandenbildlanders Taurtleik Liddlichtanders Taurtleik Mare Her in eine and step 4: stere websters and stere at the distance for at the fail distance and the stere of the fail distance and the step 4: The stere of the fail distance and the step 4: The failed disthe step 4: The failed distance and the step 4: The failed disthe	The LAAM Helpdenk in aperaled on behalf of Defea AECOM and the Halinnal Physical Laboratory.	and the Dennland Admin	ialestiana ky P	•••••• Y•	rilan, in nonjanalian wilk nonleant parlaren	Sproadrh Original c	ootmaintaino ampilod by Air	d by the Natio Quality Conr	nal Phys ultantr L	rical Labor .td.	atory.
Exclusion biology of the second sec	Step 1:	tap 4:									
Bit Advances Maxway M	Seirai like Lakaralara ikai Baalaara Yaar Takra Sean ike Dene-Dana Liai	<u>Selecto Presenting</u> <u>Selecto Year</u> Where there is only one shady for a short combination, you should not the adjustment foot Helini from the Density from the Combination where there is more than one study, nor the second footnet where is the set <u>Density of the Second Second</u> of the Single setons.									aller akana al Iko faal
Analyzed By ⁻¹ Hatked (H)manungungungungungungungungungungungungung	16 a lakuralorg in ool okuun, ur kanr oo dala fur 1 Ikin lakuralorg.	ur kann an dala far Bin ar Badal Bin Like alem	akaon, or haar oo dala ²	16	kan gan an an Inglin alay kan ar fad Ji LAGMAR	ante", 16 anne pdenk@ak.ka	elain akat ludu li reanneilan, nun	- 8888 8327355		alily Hanaye	nent Helpdenk
Val Val <td>Analyzed By ¹</td> <td>Hathed</td> <td>Teer⁵ Total</td> <td>531. Tup</td> <td>Local Balkerity</td> <td>Longth of Study Jacothe</td> <td>Diffusion Take Hean Casa, (Da)</td> <td>Antonatio Hanitar Hean Conn. IC=1</td> <td>81 191</td> <td>Take Persiat</td> <td>Dian Adjuntara I Fantar 181</td>	Analyzed By ¹	Hathed	Teer ⁵ Total	531. Tup	Local Balkerity	Longth of Study Jacothe	Diffusion Take Hean Casa, (Da)	Antonatio Hanitar Hean Conn. IC=1	81 191	Take Persiat	Dian Adjuntara I Fantar 181
Gradu BIX TR6 is water. BIX Year,5 To undo your selection, choose (AL): BIX Gradu BIX TR6 is water. BIX Year,5 Foundo your selection, choose (AL): BIX Gradu BIX TR6 is water. BIX Equals "2012" Statuments BIX Gradu BIX TR6 is water. BIX BIX Equals "2012" BIX Gradu BIX TR6 is water. BIX BIX BIX Construction County		- 1 -	- 1				Install.	lust - 1			10-79-1
Gradu NX TEGE Water 2183 Years Io undo your selection, choose (AI); Equals Ls. Gradu NX TEGE Water 2183 Equals 2012" 5.37 Gradu NX TEGE water 218 E Immembrance 3 6 5.27 5.33 Gradu NX TEGE water 218 E Immembrance 3 6 5.27 5.33 Gradu NX TEGE water 2184 E Christer Caroli 11 21 6 6.34 Gradu NX TEGE water 2184 E Gatewate Granit 11 21 21.22 5.22 6 6.38 Gradu NX TEGE water 2182 E Gatewate Granit 11 21 21.22 6 6.38 Gradu NX TEGE water 2182 E Gatewate Granit 11 31 31 31.22 6 6.38 Gradu NX TEGE water 2182 E Gatewate Granit 11 31 31	Gradhe	28X TEA in water	2812		L	<u> </u>					1.14
Gradu 131 X Téni Wahr 2814 Equals "2012" 1.32 Gradu 131 X Téni wahr 2814 K Chaman Maharo Sang Usan 1 4 4 5 1.63 Gradu 283 X Téni wahr 2814 R Chaman Maharo Sang Usan 1 4 4 4 4 6 1.61 Gradu 283 X Téni wahr 2814 R Christiv Wahr 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 1.61 Gradu 283 X Téni wahr 2814 R Gardad Granil 41 94 94 .64 6 1.61 Gradu 283 X Téni wahr 2814 R Gardad Granil 41 94 94 .64 1.61 Gradu 283 X Téni wahr 2814 R Gardad Granil 11 91 93 .62 1.83 Gradu 283 X Téni wahr 2814 R Darka Cannil 11 91 .93 .64 .43 <tr< td=""><td>Gradhe</td><td>28X TEA isWales</td><td>2812</td><td>Year</td><td>r5 To</td><td>o undo</td><td>your sel</td><td>ection, o</td><td>choo</td><td>se (All)</td><td>); 1.15</td></tr<>	Gradhe	28X TEA isWales	2812	Year	r5 To	o undo	your sel	ection, o	choo	se (All)); 1.15
Gradu BRT TRAINARY BRUE EQUIDI BRUE Gradu BRT TRAINARY BRUE F. Munichary Lumit, Lum	Gradhe	ZEX TEA (aWales	2812	-	1- "2012"		-				8.97
Gradu HX TEA in order FX	Gradke	28X TEA isWales	2812	Equa	ais ZUIZ						8.38
Gradu 1287 T6 in undre 2142 R Christieward 14 41 41 42 42 74.20 6 4.18 Gradu 1387 T6 in undre 2142 R Galaka 11 24 42 74.32 6 1.58 Gradu 2187 T6 in undre 2142 R Galaka al Constit 11 24 92 7.4.23 6 1.58 Gradu 2187 T6 in Walee 2142 R Galaka al Constit 11 25 32 7.4.23 6 4.183 Gradu 2187 T6 in Walee 2142 R Galaka al Constit 11 31 32 7.4.23 6 4.183 Gradu 2187 T6 in Walee 2142 R Galaka al Constit 11 31 32 3.4.23 6 4.183 Gradu 2187 T6 in walee 2142 R Galakaanae 11 34 34 4.4.23 6 4.183 Gradu 2187 T6 in walee 2142 <td>Gradke</td> <td>28X TEA is water</td> <td>2012</td> <td>ĸ</td> <td>Research habers Canaly Connect</td> <td>, ,</td> <td>- W</td> <td>46</td> <td>16.73</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>0.03</td>	Gradke	28X TEA is water	2012	ĸ	Research habers Canaly Connect	, ,	- W	46	16.73	<u> </u>	0.03
Gradu 128X TE hi Wulze 2142 110 214 124	Gradke	28X TEA is water	2012	R	CheakierWeal & Chealer	11		45	-18.1X	G	1.11
Gradia 2412 TeR invalue 2412 R Galance (Gannai) 11 34 34 -14.00 G 4.100 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R Galancad (Gannai) 11 35 37 -3.20 G 6 4.83 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R R Galancad (Gannai) 11 32 37 -3.20 G 4.83 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R R Galancad (Gannai) 11 31 31 -3.20 G 4.83 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R R Galancad (Gannai) 41 31 31 4.32 G 4.13 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R R Ganda (Gannai) 41 31 31 4.32 G 4.13 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R R Ganda (Gannai) 41 31 31 4.32 G 4.13 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R R Ganda (Gannai) 41 41 31 31 41.02 G 4.13 G Gradia 2102 TER invalue 2412 R <	Gradhe	28X TEA isWales	2012	90	East Herts Casasil	11	21	12	74.5X	G	
Gradia BAT TER in Wahr 2412 R Galaka 11 31 37 3.4.2 G 1.83 Gradia BAT TER in Wahr 2412 R Galaka Ganada Ganada 11 31 37 3.4.2 G 1.83 Gradia BAT TER in Wahr 2442 R Daths PhyC 3 55 61 -7.52 G 1.83 Gradia BAT TER in wahr 2442 UG Galaka Ganada 11 31 31 21 24.42 G 1.83 Gradia BAT TER in wahr 2442 UG Ganada Ganada 111 31 31 4.32 G 1.83 Gradia BAT TER in wahr 2442 R Early Ciff Canail 11 34 44 44.33 G 1.83 Gradia BAT TER in wahr 2442 K Barcharal DC 11 41 41 34 42.43 G 1.83 Gradia BAT TER in wahr 2442 K Mareform DC 11 41 41 43.43 G 1.83	Gradhe	28X TEA isWalee	2012	R	Galenhead Conneil	11	34	34	-1.4X	G	1.11
02-24b. 02.1 K T G & Marter P (Marter MPC) 11 12 12 12.4 K C & Marter C & Marter MPC 02-24b. 21X T G & Marter C & Marter MPC 5 55 64 12.8 K C & Marter C & Marter MPC 02-24b. 21X T G & Marter C & Marter MPC 5 55 64 12.8 K C & Marter C & Marter MPC 02-24b. 21X T G & Marter C & Marter MPC 14 54 54 4.3 K C & Marter C & Marter MPC 02-24b. 21X T G & Marter C & Marter MPC 2142 R Conduction C & Marter MPC 141 54 4.3 K C & Marter C & Marter MPC 02-24b. 21X T G & Marter C & 2142 R Santermaph C & Marter MPC 141 52 57 71.1 M X & G 4.4 M X & G<	Grades Co. D.	28X TER ISWARP	2012	ĸ	California Connect			37	-3.62	~	1.03
Operation Distribution Distribution <td>Grades</td> <td>28X TER ISWARP</td> <td>2842</td> <td>R D</td> <td>Delles MBC</td> <td>- 11</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-2.57</td> <td>6</td> <td>1.03</td>	Grades	28X TER ISWARP	2842	R D	Delles MBC	- 11			-2.57	6	1.03
gradie 28X TEA is unler 2842 UC Sankhangles City Canadi 11 31 33 4.3X C 4.88 Gradie 28X TEA is unler 2842 R Earler City Canadi 11 31 33 4.3X C 4.88 Gradie 28X TEA is unler 2842 R Sancharage City Canadi 11 32 37 -14.3X G 4.18 Gradie 28X TEA is unler 2842 X Harphone Read Information 11 416 44 45.4X G 4.18 Gradie 28X TEA is unler 2842 X Harphone Read Information 11 416 44 45.4X G 4.18 Gradie 28X TEA is unler 2842 R Harphone Read Information 11 416 41 45.4X G 4.18 Gradie 28X TEA is unler 2842 R Prophone Information 11 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	Gradhe	ZEX TER inWales	2012	UP	Lalas Personal Canacil		11		25.4%	G	1.77
Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 R Earler City Consult 41 34 34 4.3X G 4.88 Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 R Saversamp D C 11 32 37 41.3X G 4.88 Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 KS Marghebase Read Informagazines 11 116 54 42.4X G 4.88 Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 KS Marghebase Read Informagazines 11 116 54 42.4X G 4.88 Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 K Marghebase Read Informagazines 11 116 41 41 42 G 4.88 Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 R Hauferen DC 11 11 41 37 11.3X G 8.89 Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 R Hortpitee City Consult 11 41 41 42.3X G 4.84 Goodla 28X TER is under 2842 R Hortpitee Consult 11 41 <	aratha	ZEX TEA is water	2812	uc	Saalkaaalaa Cila Caaaail	- 11	31	33	-8.5X	G	1.83
Gordha 28X TER is under 2842 R Surface Real large registration 11 32 37 -14.3X G 4.43 Gordha 28X TER is under 2842 RX Marge have Real large margerism 11 416 34 42.4X G 6.05 Gordha 28X TER is under 2842 R Har freezib C 11 416 41 41.4X G 6.05 Gordha 28X TER is under 2842 R Har freezib C 11 41 32 213 41.4X G 8.05 Gordha 28X TER is under 2842 R Cigation Bar Consil 11 41 33 23 44 44.4X G 8.05 Gordha 28X TER is under 2842 R Forthe Sound 31 33 44.4X G 8.05 Gordha 28X TER is under 2842 R HOTTHIGHAM CITY COUNCIL 11 41 4.2X G 4.04 Gordha 28X TER is un	Gradhe	28X TEA is using	2812	R	Earles Cily Cassail	11	34	34	-8.5X	G	1.88
Goodba 28X TER is water 2842 K5 Hargtebox Road Intercomparison 11 116 94 12.1X G 8.19 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 K5 HargterselOC 10 46 41 43.4X G 4.00 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R HargterselOC 10 46 41 43.4X G 4.00 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Drightack Hane Cilg Cassail 11 41 53 44 41.4X G 4.00 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Drightack Hane Cilg Cassail 41 44 44 4.4X G 4.04 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R HOTTIHGHAH CITY COUNCIL 41 44 44 4.4X G 4.04 4.0X	Gradhe	28X TEA is water	2812	R	Saarbaraagb B C	11	52	57	-11.5X	G	1.13
Goodle 214 X TEA is under 2142 KS How Ferred DC 11 45 41 43 44 41,0 43 44 41,0 43 44 41,0 43 44 41,0 44 44 44,0 42,0 6 4,14 6 4,14 6 4,14 6 4,14 6 4,14 6 4,14 44 44 44,0 42,0 6 4,14 44 44 44,0 42,0 6 4,14 44 44 44,0 42,0 6 4,14 44 44 44,0 42,0 6 4,14 44 44,0 42,0 6 4,14 45 44 44,0 42,0 6 4,18 6<	Gradhe	28X TEA is Wales	2812	KS	Hargleboor Road Inferonoparious	11	186	34	12.1X	G	1.13
Goodba BIX TER is under Bit 2 Hunder Ford DC Hu	Gradhe	28X TEA is water	2812	KS	Hey Farral DC	11	46		15.4X	G	8.88
Goodba BXX TEA is sucher 2142 R Original Marc Gil Constit 41 41 97 41.5X G 8.34 Goodba 21X TEA is sucher 2142 R City a fixed is Constit 41 53 44 41.6X G 8.34 Goodba 21X TEA is sucher 2142 R City a fixed is Constit 53 44 41.6X G 8.34 Goodba 21X TEA is sucher 2142 R Hort HOMAH CITY COUNCIL 41 44 44.2X G 4.184 Goodba 21X TEA is sucher 2142 R HOTT HOMAH CITY COUNCIL 41 43 44 4.3X G 4.3X G <td>Gradhe</td> <td>28X TEA is using</td> <td>2812</td> <td>R</td> <td>Hew Parent DC</td> <td>- 11</td> <td>33</td> <td>29</td> <td>11.8X</td> <td>G</td> <td>8.83</td>	Gradhe	28X TEA is using	2812	R	Hew Parent DC	- 11	33	29	11.8X	G	8.83
Gradia 20x TEA is under 2012 R Cling of Ling of Lin	Gradhe	28X TEA is using	2812	R	Prighton & Hour City Conneil	11	41	57	18.5X	G	8.51
Goodba 20x TEA is under 2012 R Prochas Deventy Council 3 30 33 41.00 G 4.100 Goodba 20x TEA is under 2012 R HOTTINGNAM CITY COUNCIL 40 44.00 41.00	Gradha	28X TEA is using	2812	R	Cilq of Lincole Conneil	11	53		18.4X	G	1.14
Goodba 2012 R HOTTIHGHAN CITY COUNCIL 10 44 44 4.2X G 4.88 Goodba 2012 R HOTTIHGHAN CITY COUNCIL 10 43 44 4.2X G 4.88 Goodba 2012 R HOTTIHGHAN CITY COUNCIL 11 43 44 4.3X G 4.88 Goodba 2012 R HOTTIHGHAN CITY COUNCIL 11 45 47 4.3X G 4.88 Goodba 2012 R HOTTIHGHAN CITY COUNCIL 11 45 47 4.3X G 4.88 Goodba 2012 R HOTTIHGHAN CITY COUNCIL 11 45 47 4.3X G 4.88 Goodba 2012 R Willater Council 11 35 35 3.3X G 4.32 Goodba 2012 R Willater Council 11 35 35 3.3X G 8.35 Goodba 2012 R Willater Council 11 35 35 3.3X G 8.35	Gradhe	28X TEA is water	2812	R	Parekan Derengk Conneil	1	31	99	-4.1X	G	1.14
Operation Operation <t< td=""><td>Gradhe</td><td>20X TEA is water</td><td>2012</td><td>R</td><td>NOTTINGNAM CITY COUNCIL</td><td>1</td><td>44</td><td></td><td>-1.2X</td><td>G</td><td>1.11</td></t<>	Gradhe	20X TEA is water	2012	R	NOTTINGNAM CITY COUNCIL	1	44		-1.2X	G	1.11
Strate Strat Strat Strat <td>Gradin Gradin</td> <td>20X TER is using</td> <td>2012</td> <td>R</td> <td>NOT TINGHAM CITY COUNCIL</td> <td>11</td> <td>43</td> <td></td> <td>4.5%</td> <td>G</td> <td>1.35</td>	Gradin Gradin	20X TER is using	2012	R	NOT TINGHAM CITY COUNCIL	11	43		4.5%	G	1.35
Condition Condition <thcondition< th=""> <thcondition< th=""> <thc< td=""><td>Gentle</td><td>28X TER is using</td><td>2812</td><td>R D</td><td>The Wind and Council</td><td></td><td>24</td><td>97</td><td>-8.3.5</td><td>6</td><td>1.12</td></thc<></thcondition<></thcondition<>	Gentle	28X TER is using	2812	R D	The Wind and Council		24	97	-8.3.5	6	1.12
Condition Condition <t< td=""><td>Grathe</td><td>ZEX TER in other</td><td>2012</td><td>R</td><td>Willaking Cassail</td><td>1</td><td>16</td><td>15</td><td>3.37</td><td>G</td><td>1.15</td></t<>	Grathe	ZEX TER in other	2012	R	Willaking Cassail	1	16	15	3.37	G	1.15
Condition Difference Difference <thdifference< th=""> Difference <thdifference< th=""> Difference Difference</thdifference<></thdifference<>	Gradhe	ZEX TER in Wales	2012	UP	LBWallban Ferral	11	11		-11.8X	5	1.13
Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Lansacter City Constit 18 46 42 41.3X G 8.38 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Lansacter City Constit 18 46 42 41.3X G 8.38 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Lansacter City Constit 11 37 35 2.1X G 8.38 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Lansacter City Constit 3 32 34 -7.4X G 4.38 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Landas Decompt of Enling 18 55 54 4.1X P 8.38 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Landas Decompt of Enling 18 19 14 4.3X P 8.38 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Landas Decompt of Enling 18 13 14 4.3X G 4.18X Gradia 28X TEA is under	Gradhe	28X TEA is water	2812	R	Prudle	11	33	92	28.5%	G	8.83
Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Lansater City Connell 11 37 35 2.4X G 8.38 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Wakington Dorough General 3 32 34 -7.4X G 4.38 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Wakington Dorough of Ealing 18 55 54 4.1X P 4.38 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Leaden Dorough of Ealing 18 55 54 4.1X P 4.38 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Leaden Dorough of Ealing 18 55 54 4.1X P 4.38 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 R Leaden Dorough of Ealing 18 32 35 48.1X Q 4.122 Goodba 28X TER is under 2842 U Leaden Dorough of Ealing 3 32 35 48.1X G 4.122 Goodba 28X TER is und	Gradha	28X TEA is using	2812	R	Lanzader City Conneil	- 11	46	42	11.5X	G	8.38
Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Wakingkan Derengk Gaussil 3 32 34 -7.4X G 4.00 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Wakingkan Derengk Gaussil 3 32 34 -7.4X G 4.00 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Leader Derengk of Enling 40 55 54 4.1X P 4.00 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Leader Derengk of Enling 40 55 54 4.1X P 4.00 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 R Leader Derengk of Enling 5 32 36 40.1X P 4.00 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 D Chemofered Gig Caussil 5 32 36 40.1X G 4.42 Gradia 28X TEA is under 2842 D Chemofered Gig Caussil 11 13 14 31.3X G 8.75 Gradia 28X TEA is under <td>Gradhe</td> <td>28X TEA is cales</td> <td>2812</td> <td>R</td> <td>Lanzader City Conneil</td> <td>11</td> <td>37</td> <td>36</td> <td>2.1X</td> <td>G</td> <td>8.38</td>	Gradhe	28X TEA is cales	2812	R	Lanzader City Conneil	11	37	36	2.1X	G	8.38
Gradia 21X TEA is under 2142 R London Decomplese Ending 11 53 54 1.1X P 8.3B Gradia 21X TEA is under 2142 R London Decomplese Ending 11 13 14 -1.3X P 4.3B Gradia 21X TEA is under 2142 R London Decomplese Ending 15 14 -1.3X P 4.3B Gradia 21X TEA is under 2142 R London Decomplese Ending 15 32 56 -10.3X P 4.3B Gradia 21X TEA is under 2142 R London Decomplese Ending 3 32 35 -10.3X G 4.42 Gradia 21X TEA is under 2142 D Channel Hereine 115 11 13 14 31.3X G 8.7E Gradia 21X TEA is under 3142 D Channel Hereine 115 and endia 11 13 14 31.3X G 8.7E	Gradhe	28X TEA is using	2812	R	Wahingkan Parangh Canadil	1	52	34	-7.4X	G	1.11
Gradia ZEX TEX in sudar ZE12 R London Decomplex of Eating 11 13 14 -1.3X P 1.10 Gradia ZEX TEX in sudar ZE12 R London Decomplex of Eating 3 52 55 -11.1X G 1.42 Gradia ZEX TEX in sudar ZE12 D London Decomplex of Eating 3 52 55 -11.1X G 1.42 Gradia ZEX TEX in sudar ZE12 D Charlander City Consult 11 15 14 31.3X G 8.75 Gradia ZEX TEX in sudar ZE12 D Charlander City Consult 11 15 14 31.3X G 8.75 Gradia ZEX TEX in sudar ZE12 D Charlander City Consult 11 15 14 31.3X G 8.75	Gradhe	28X TEA is water	2812	R	London Parangle of Calling	- 11	55	54	1.8X	P	8.38
Gradia 28X TER is autor 2812 UD Leader Derengt of Ealing 3 32 35 -10.8X G 1.12 Gradia 28X TER is autor 2842 P Clanaford City Consult 11 13 14 31.3X G 8.2E Gradia 28X TER is autor 2842 P Clanaford City Consult 11 13 14 31.3X G 8.2E Gradia 28X TER is autor 2842 P Clanaford City Consult 11 13 14 31.3X G 8.2E	Gradhe	28X TEA is using	2812	R	London Parangle of Calling	- 11	• 1	14	-8.5X	P	1.00
Gradia City Tells in unley 2012 P Changed City Consult 11 13 14 31.3X C L26	Gradhe	28X TEA is using	2812	90	Landan Parangk of Ealing	1	52	96	-18.8X	G	1.12
	Aratha Analla	CEA FER is using	2012		Christenburd City Conneil	11	13	14	38.3X	G	1.76

Bias adjustment 2012 (Version 03/13)

Forest of Dean District Council

Follow in the stops below in the source to show the results of referant co-location studies This spreadhates This spreadhates Out and apply the stops below in the sense of the stops addied Spreadhates	National Diffusion Tub	e Bias Adj	ustmen	it Fa	actor Spreadsheet			Spreadshe	et Vers	ion Numb	er: 06/15			
The Landking duck is generated as balaf of Durs and the Duck	Follow the steps below in the correct of Data only apply to tubes exposed monthly Whenever presenting adjusted data, you s This spreadhseet will be updated every fev	scouragel	their immediate	euse.	This up tAO	spreadshe dated at the September MiHelpdes	eet will be e end of 2015 k Website							
Step 1: Step 2: Step 3: Step 3: Step 4: Lideat Hand Analysize Year State 1 from the Tasker Long Analysize Year State 1 from the Tasker Long Analysize Year State 1 from the Tasker Long Analysize Year Year Long Year Long Year Long Year Long Year Year Long Year Year Long	The LAQM Helpdesk is operated on behalf of D partners AECOM and the National Physical Lab	Spreadsh Original c	eet maintained ompiled by Air	l by the Nation Quality Consu	al Physi Itants Li	cal Labora td.	tory.							
Batch Hubbonstorn H	Step 1: Step 2: Step 3: Step 4:													
Hetscheinenstehn die Keinstehn het die Seinen versie die Seinen der Sei	Select the Laboratory that Analyses Your Tubes from the Drop-Down List	Select a Preparation Method from the Drop-Down List	Metric Tear Method from the Drop-Down List Drop-Down List Where there is only one study for a chosen combination, you should use the adjustment factor a with caution. Where there is more than one study, use the overall factor ³ shown in blue at the of the final column.								tor shown t the foot			
Analysed By Method Instrumentation Instrumentatin Instrumentation Instrumentatin Instrumentation Instr	. 16 a lakuralung in unlukuna, un kann un dala fur likin lakuralung.	lf a preparation welloud in out aloune, we have an data for this welloud at this takoratory.	16 a georiana) ataun, ur koran doto ²		If you have your own co-location study the Management Helpdesk at L	n see footne .AQMHelpd	ote ⁴ . If uncertain esk@uk.bureauv	what to do then eritas.com or 08	contact t 00 0327	he Local Air 953	Quality			
Y Y Y Carbon Gradu 20x1TA in Juster 2001 R Oberling Wate and Obserts 12 34 41 <4.62	Analysed By '	Method Ta and gave or or feeling from (All) From the payon that	Year ^s Toologue (AUI)	Site Typ e	Local Authority	Length of Study (months	Diffusion Tube Mean Conc. (Dm)	Automatic Monitor Mean Conc. (Cm)	Bias (B)	Tube Precisio	Bias Adjustme at Factor (A)			
Socialization 2012 F.A. Charling Varier 212 39 41 4.462 6 1.15 Gendin 2017 Edin Varier 2019 A. Derdley MBO 12 38 311 232.4 6 A.11 Gendin 2017 Edin Varier 2019 A. Derdley MBO 19 28 28 1.17.2 6 A.11 Gendin 2017 Edin Varier 2019 A. Derdley MBO 19 35 38 1.24.2 6 4.25.2 6 0 4.7 Gradin 2017 Edin Varier 2019 A. Freedom Bravedy Gouncil 19 34 2.1 4.5.2 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 6 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 <	Τ,	Τ.	Τ.	-)	(µg/m*)	(µg/m²)			(Cm/Dm)			
Graden 2001 Kain Warer 2019 F. Duduy MBO 12 34 31 23.1/2 6 9.11 Graden 2017 Kain Warer 2019 R Duduy MBO 19 25 251 1.12 G 0.162 Graden 2017 Kain Warer 2019 R Duduy MBO 19 25 251 1.12 G 0.142 Graden 2017 Kain Marer 2019 R Farkon Braved Cauncil 19 24 24 202 G 0.141 Graden 2017 Kain Marer 2019 R Graden Graden 11 24 21 4.21 6 0.141 Graden 2017 Kain Marer 2019 R Gradencold 11 24 23 4.32 6 0.421 6 6.431 Graden 2017 Kain Marer 2019 R Gradencold Cold Kain Marer 10 37 57 52 6 6.431 Graden 2017 Kain Marer 2019	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	В	Chashira Wast and Chastor	12	39	41	-4.4%	G	1.05			
Gradia 202 (Thin Water 2010 UP Dealloy MDO 10 125 1.7.2 6. 1.1.2 Gradia 202/Thin Jostar 2010 R Dealloy MDO 11 41 39 5.4.2 6.0 4.9.3 Gradia 202/Thin Jostar 2010 R Dealloy MDO 11 41 39 5.4.2 6.0 4.9.3 Gradia 202/Thin Jostar 2010 R Farsham Barengh Guancil 10 24 4.2 4.2.2 6.0 4.9.3 Gradia 202/Thin Jostar 2010 R Farsham Barengh Guancil 11 34 27 4.7.2 6.0 4.9.3 Gradia 202/Thin Jostar 2010 R Garaho-d'Guancil 10 32 22 2.1.2 6.0 4.9.3 Gradia 202/Thin Jostar 2010 R Garaho-d'Guancil 10 32 22 21.2 1.2.2 1.2.2 1.2.2 1.2.2 1.2.2 1.2.2 1.2.2 <t< td=""><td>Gradka</td><td>20% TEA in Wator</td><td>2013</td><td>R</td><td>Dudley MBC</td><td>12</td><td>38</td><td>31</td><td>23.1%</td><td>G</td><td>0.#1</td></t<>	Gradka	20% TEA in Wator	2013	R	Dudley MBC	12	38	31	23.1%	G	0.#1			
Gradin 20:7E harvestr 20:10 R Delky H0 11 14.1 29.4 5.4.4 6.0 4.5.4.5 Gradin 20:7E harvestr 20:10 R Excention Council 19:0 39:0 30:0 5.4.4 6.0 4.5.4.5 Gradin 20:7E harvestr 20:0 R Excention Council 11:0 34:0 24:0 20:0 6.0 4.5.4.5 Gradin 20:7E harvestr 20:0 R Excention Council Kinder Council 11:0 34:0 34:0 34:0 45:0	Gradko	20% TEA in Water	2013	UB	Dudley MBC	10	25	25	-1.7×	G	1.02			
Gradin 20:: Téhi nutari 20:33 R ExtHer Quancia 10 35 30 14.2 6 4.4.4 Gradin 20:: Téhi nutari 2013 R Farakam Bareagh Quancia 11 24 24 24.2 26.0 4.5.2 Gradin 20:: Téhin nutari 2013 R Farakam Bareagh Quancia 11 24.2 45.2 45.2 6 4.5.2 Gradin 20:: Téhin nutari 2013 R Gradin-Goncial 11 24.2 23.2 2.2.2 2.6.2 6 4.5.2 Gradin 20:: Téhin nutari 2013 R Gradin-Goncial (King' Lynn & Wartherfell 12 23.2 2.2.2 2.6.4 4.5.2 6 4.5.2 Gradin 20:: Téhin nutari 2013 R Gadin-Goncial (King' Lynn & Wartherfell 12 23.2 12.2.5 6 4.5.2 6 4.5.2 6 4.5.2 6 4.5.3 Gradin 20:: Téhi nutari 20:01 R Gradin-Goncial (King' Lynn & Wa	Gradka	20% TEA in Water	2013	R	Dudley MBC	11	41	39	5.4%	G	0.95			
Gradin 2007 LFA invaster 2019 R Farsham Barangh Council 9 94 94 2.0% G e.9.93 Gradin 2007 LFA invaster 2019 R Gradin 111 94 371 4.7.2 G 1.070 Gradin 2007 LFA invaster 2019 R Gradue Council 111 94 371 4.7.2 G 1.070 Gradue 2077 LFA invaster 2019 R Gradue Council 111 94 373 4.7.2 G 4.9.9 Gradue 2077 LFA invaster 2019 R Barkark d'Council (King' Lynk Wart Marfall 12 2.4 2.1 1.4.1 G 4.9.9 4.4 4.9.9 4.4.0 4.9.9 </td <td>Gradka</td> <td>20% TEA in water</td> <td>2013</td> <td>R</td> <td>Eart Hortr Council</td> <td>10</td> <td>35</td> <td>30</td> <td>19.4%</td> <td>G</td> <td>0.84</td>	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Eart Hortr Council	10	35	30	19.4%	G	0.84			
Gradin 207:TAInuster 2019 R Farsham Baraga Gouncil 12 42 45 4.32 G	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Faroham Borough Council	9	34	34	2.0%	G	0.98			
Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2010 R Genetical Status 111 34 371 -6.7.2 G 1.1.9 Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2010 R Genetical Status 111 35 33 6.3.2 G 0.9.91 Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2013 R Genetical Status 110 23 2.2 2.8. G 0.9.91 Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2013 R Genetical Status 11 35 7.2.8 G 0.9.91 Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2013 R TeAinbacher 12 2.4 2.1 14.1.8 G 0.9.91 Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2013 R NOTTINGHAM (DITY OOUNGL 12 4.4 -2.2.8 G 0.9.91 Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2013 R NOTTINGHAM (DITY OOUNGL 11 4.1 2.0 1.9.8 0.9.91 Gredin 200: TEAin uster 2.013 R Brightma Heno Ci	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Faroham Baraugh Cauncil	12	42	45	-6.2%	G	1.07			
Gradka 20:71 EA in uster 2013 R Gatacha ed Council 11 35 33 6.32 G 9.4 Gradka 20:71 EA in uster 2013 R Gatacha ed Council 10 33 2.2 2.1/x G 9.3 Gradka 20:71 EA in uster 2013 R Gatacha ed Council at King Lynn & Wart Narfalk 12 2.9 2.6 12.5 G 4.3 Gradka 20:71 EA in uster 2013 R The High and Council 12 2.4 2.1 14.1.1x G 4.3 Gradka 20:71 EA in uster 2013 R Dedicy MBC 12 5.2 5.9 1.4.1x G 4.3 Gradka 20:71 EA in uster 2013 R NOTHINGHAM OTY COUNCIL 12 4.3 4.4 -2.2x G 4.5 <td>Gradka</td> <td>20% TEA in water</td> <td>2013</td> <td>R</td> <td>Gaterhead Council</td> <td>11</td> <td>34</td> <td>37</td> <td>-8.7%</td> <td>G</td> <td>1.10</td>	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Gaterhead Council	11	34	37	-8.7%	G	1.10			
Gradka 20:17 LA in uster 2013 R Gatural Council King's Lynn, & WartNardk 12 21 2.11. G 9.45 Gradka 20:17 LA in uster 2013 R Berugh Council King's Lynn, & WartNardk 12 29 26 12.5× G 0.45 Gradka 20:17 LA in uster 2013 R Fredin Council 10 37 25 7.2× G 0.43 Gradka 20:17 LA in uster 2013 R Nothinghand Council 10 37 25 7.2× G 0.43 Gradka 20:17 LA in uster 2013 R NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL 12 43 44 -2×: G 1.42 Gradka 20:17 LA in uster 2013 R NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL 11 43 44 -2×: G 0.42 Gradka 20:17 LA in uster 2013 R Brightan 8 Havo City Council 11 41 30 37.5× G 0.73 Gradka 20:17 LA i	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	GaterheadCouncil	11	35	33	6.3×	G	0.94			
Gradka 20x1 ETA in uster 2013 R Barueud Cauncil af King's Lynn & Wort Narfell 12 24 25 12.5x G 0.43 Gradka 20x1 ETA in uster 2013 R Gadin, Barueud Cauncil 10 27 25 7.2x G 0.43 Gradka 20x1 ETA in uster 2013 R The Highand Council 12 24 21 14.1x G 0.43 Gradka 20x1 ETA in uster 2013 R NOTINGRIAM CITY COUNCIL 12 42 444 -2.2x1 G 0.42 Gradka 20x1 ETA in uster 2013 R NOTINGRIAM CITY COUNCIL 10 41 39 6.4x1 G 0.94 Gradka 20x1 ETA in uster 2013 R Brightan Shave City Council 11 41 20 17.5x G 0.75 Gradka 20x1 ETA in uster 2013 R Brightan Shave City Council 11 41 20 27.5x G 0.75 Gradka <td>Gradka</td> <td>20% TEA in water</td> <td>2013</td> <td>R</td> <td>Gaterhead Council</td> <td>10</td> <td>33</td> <td>32</td> <td>2.1%</td> <td>G</td> <td>0.98</td>	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Gaterhead Council	10	33	32	2.1%	G	0.98			
Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R Geding Beravah Cauncil 10 37 38 7.2% G 0.37 Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R The Highland Council 12 24 21 14.1% G 0.4.84 Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R Dudg/MBC 12 52 59 -12.0% P 1.14 Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R NOTINGHAM CITY OUNIOL 10 41 43 44 -2.2% G 0.94 Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R NOTINGHAM CITY OUNIOL 11 43 44 -2.2% G 0.94 Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R Brightan Shave City Cauncil 11 41 20 37.5% G 0.94 Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R Brightan Shave City Cauncil 11 41 20 37.5% G 0.42 Gradka 20/1 Thin under 2013 R Brightan Shave City Cauncil 11 41 31 45.	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Baraugh Cauncil of King's Lynn & Wost Norfolk	12	29	26	12.5%	G	0.89			
Urraka 20/1 Ekin Juster 2013 R Interlighted Grandia 1/2 2/4 2/1 1/4.1% 6 4/4 Gradka 20/1 TEA in Juster 2013 R Dudlay MSC 12 52 59 1-16.0% F 1.14 Gradka 20/1 TEA in Juster 2013 R NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL 10 41 34 4-2.2% 6 1.142 Gradka 20/1 TEA in Juster 2013 R NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL 10 41 34 4-22 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.4% 6 4.5% 6 4.4% 6 4.5% 6 4.4% 6 4.5% 6 4.4% 6 4.5% 6 4.4% 4.4% 4.5% 6 4.4% 4.4% 4.5% 6 4.4% 4.4% 4.5% 6 4.4% </td <td>Gradka</td> <td>20% TEA in water</td> <td>2013</td> <td>R</td> <td>Godling Baraugh Cauncil</td> <td>10</td> <td>37</td> <td>35</td> <td>7.2%</td> <td>G</td> <td>0.93</td>	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Godling Baraugh Cauncil	10	37	35	7.2%	G	0.93			
Orska CAN Ethin Water Carls In Dusprint Lit State State State State F Lit State <	Gradka	20% IEA in Liator	2013	в	The Highland Council Dudles MPO	12	24	21	14.1%	6	0.88			
Gradka 20% Ethinuater 2013 R NOTINGRAM CHITYOOUNCL 11 41 39 4.42 6 1.92 Gradka 20% TEAinuater 2013 R NOTINGRAM CHIYOOUNCL 11 41 39 4.42 6 1.92 Gradka 20% TEAinuater 2013 R NOTINGRAM CHYOOUNCL 11 41 39 4.42 6 4.93 Gradka 20% TEAinuater 2013 R Brighten Rhave City Council 11 41 30 3.75% G 6.73 Gradka 20% TEAinuater 2013 R Brighten Rhave City Council 11 41 30 3.75% G 6.73 Gradka 20% TEAinuater 2013 R Brighten Rhave City Council 9 54 45 19.4% 6 6.84 Gradka 20% TEAinuater 2013 R Wilkhire Council 11 41 37 116.2 6 6.94 Gradka 20% TEAinuater 2013 R Wilkhire Council 12 39 49 -20.2% G	Gradie	20% IEA in Water	2013	в		12	52	59	-12.02	р с	1.14			
Constant	Gradka	20% TEA in Liator	2013	n B	NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL	10	43	24	- C. C.7.	6	1.42			
Construction Construction<	Gradka	20% TEA in Listor	2013	B	NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL	10	41	42	1.4%	6	0.94			
Gradka 20% TEA in uator 2013 R Brightan BHavo City Cauncil 11 41 30 37.5% G 0.73 Gradka 20% TEA in uator 2013 KS Marylabano Raad Intercomparizan 12 1011 81 25.8% G 0.83 Gradka 20% TEA in uator 2013 R Brightan SHavo City Cauncil 9 54 45 19.6% G 0.83 Gradka 20% TEA in uator 2013 R Wilkhine Cauncil 12 40 36 10.1% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEA in uator 2013 R Wilkhine Cauncil 11 41 37 11.6% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEA in uator 2013 R Wilkhine Cauncil 12 39 49 -20.0% G 1.25 Gradka 20% TEA in uator 2013 R Wilkhine Cauncil 12 32 3 -3.3% G 1.05 Gradka 20% TEA in uator	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	B	Brighton & Hove City Council	11	62	60	1.9%	G	0.91			
Gradka 20x TEAin uster 2013 KS Marylebane Readinter camparizan 12 101 81 28.8 G 0.84 Gradka 20x TEAin Water 2013 R Brightan & Maxo City Council 9 54 45 19.6x G 0.84 Gradka 20x TEAin Water 2013 R Brightan & Maxo City Council 9 54 45 19.6x G 0.84 Gradka 20x TEAin uster 2013 R Wiltwhire Council 12 40 36 19.1x G 0.91 Gradka 20x TEAin uster 2013 R Wiltwhire Cauncil 11 41 37 11.6x G 0.99 Gradka 20x TEAin uster 2013 R Brackland Council 12 32 33 -3.3x G 1.25 Gradka 20x TEAin uster 2013 R City of Lincaln Council 12 41 34 19.0x G 0.94 Gradka 20x TEAin uster	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	B	Brighton & Hove City Council	11	41	30	37.5%	G	0.73			
Gradka 20% TEÅin Water 2013 R Brightan kHaus City Cauncil 9 54 45 19.8% 6 0.84 Gradka 20% TEÅin uster 2013 R Wilkvin Council 12 40 36 10.1% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEÅin uster 2013 R Wilkvin Council 11 41 37 11.6% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEÅin uster 2013 R Wilkvin Council 11 41 37 11.6% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEÅin uster 2013 R Brekkand Council 12 32 33 -3.3% G 1.83 Gradka 20% TEÅin uster 2013 R Brekkand Council 12 44 40 9.9% G 0.84 Gradka 20% TEÅin uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 44 40 9.9% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEÅin uster 2013 R	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	KS	Marylobano Raad Intercompariron	12	101	81	25.8%	G	0.20			
Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Wiltrhire Cauncil 12 40 36 10,1% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Wiltrhire Cauncil 11 41 37 11.6% G 0.99 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Wiltrhire Cauncil 11 41 37 11.6% G 0.99 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Breckland Council 12 32 33 -3.3% G 1.03 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Reckland Council 12 34 43 0.5% G 0.99 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 44 40 9.5% G 0.91 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 34 45.1% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R <t< td=""><td>Gradka</td><td>20% TEA in Water</td><td>2013</td><td>R</td><td>Brighton & Hove City Council</td><td>9</td><td>54</td><td>45</td><td>19.6%</td><td>G</td><td>0.14</td></t<>	Gradka	20% TEA in Water	2013	R	Brighton & Hove City Council	9	54	45	19.6%	G	0.14			
Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Wiltwhire Gauncil 11 41 37 11.8% 6 0.99 Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Wiltwhire Gauncil 12 39 49 -20.0% 6 1.25 Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Wiltwhire Gauncil 12 39 49 -20.0% 6 1.25 Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Dity of Lincaln Council 12 42 43 0.5% 6 0.99 Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Oty of Lincaln Council 12 41 34 19.0% 6 0.99 Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 44 40 9.9% 6 0.91 Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 34 4.1% 6 0.93 Gredka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R <td>Gradka</td> <td>20% TEA in water</td> <td>2013</td> <td>R</td> <td>Wiltrhire Council</td> <td>12</td> <td>40</td> <td>36</td> <td>10.12</td> <td>G</td> <td>0.91</td>	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Wiltrhire Council	12	40	36	10.12	G	0.91			
Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Within Council 12 39 49 -20.0% G 1.25 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Breddland Cauncil 12 32 33 -20.0% G 1.93 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Breddland Cauncil 12 32 33 -20.0% G 1.93 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Orgen and the income the income the income of the incom	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	B	Wiltrhire Council	11	41	37	11.6%	G	0.90			
Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Breaklan Gouncil 12 32 33 -3.32 6 1.43 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Orty of Lincain Council 12 43 43 9.5% G 4.99 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Manaeuthyline County Council 12 441 434 9.5% G 4.99 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Council 12 441 40 9.5% G 4.91 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Council 12 444 40 9.5% G 4.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Council 12 34 33 7.1% G 4.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Pendle 12 35 38 -4.7% P 1.10 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 <td>Gradka</td> <td>20% TEA in water</td> <td>2013</td> <td>R</td> <td>Wiltrhire Council</td> <td>12</td> <td>39</td> <td>49</td> <td>-20.0×</td> <td>G</td> <td>1.25</td>	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Wiltrhire Council	12	39	49	-20.0×	G	1.25			
Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Otype (incline) Council 12 43 43 0.5% G 0.99 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Manmauthyline Council 12 41 34 9.5% G 0.99 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Incarter City Council 12 41 34 19.0% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 34 40 9.5% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 34 34 6.1% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarter City Council 12 36 33 7.1% G 0.93 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Pandle 12 35 38 -6.7% P 1.10 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Brøckland Council	12	32	33	-3.3%	G	1.03			
Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Manasuthris Caunty Cauncil 12 41 34 19.0% G 0.84 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 44 40 9.9% G 0.84 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 34 40 9.9% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 34 4.1% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 36 33 7.1% G 0.93 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Panile 12 35 38 -8.7% P 1.10 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Narth Ayrrhire Cauncil 12 32 32 -0.1% G 1.66 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R <td>Gradko</td> <td>20% TEA in water</td> <td>2013</td> <td>R</td> <td>City of Lincoln Council</td> <td>12</td> <td>43</td> <td>43</td> <td>0.5×</td> <td>G</td> <td>0.99</td>	Gradko	20% TEA in water	2013	R	City of Lincoln Council	12	43	43	0.5×	G	0.99			
Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 44 40 9,9% G 9,91 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 34 40 9,9% G 9,91 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 34 34 6.1% G 9,93 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Lancaster City Cauncil 12 34 34 6.1% G 9,93 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Pendle 12 35 38 -8.7% P 1,119 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Neuf Arysthire Cauncil 12 32 23 -4.7% P 1,119 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 R Neuf Farct Dirtict Cauncil 11 34 28 19.4% G 0.484 Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Manmauthrhire County Council	12	41	34	19.0%	G	0.84			
Gradka Z0X/TEA in uster 2013 R Lancarater City Gauncii 12 34 34 6.12 G 9.44 Gradka 20X/TEA in uster 2013 UB Lutan Barsugh Cauncii 12 34 33 7.12 G 4.94 Gradka 20X/TEA in uster 2013 R Pandle 12 35 38 -4.72 P 1.10 Gradka 20X/TEA in uster 2013 R Pandle 12 35 38 -4.72 P 1.10 Gradka 20X/TEA in uster 2013 R Nau Farset DE 11 46 40 13.47 G 0.83 Gradka 20X/TEA in uster 2013 K Nau Farset DE trict Gauncii 11 34 28 19.47 G 0.83 Gradka 20X/TEA in uster 2013 K Nau Farset District Gauncii 11 34 28 19.47 G 0.84 Gradka 20X/TEA in uster 2013 U	Gradka	20% TEÅ in water	2013	R	Lancartor City Council	12	44	40	9.9%	G	0.91			
Urrakta CV/LEA muster 2013 VB Utraktary Gravita 12 33 7.1% G 6.33 Gradka 20% TEA muster 2013 R Pendle 12 35 38 -6.7% P 1.16 Gradka 20% TEA muster 2013 R Narth Ayrathire Cauncil 12 32 38 -6.7% P 1.16 Gradka 20% TEA muster 2013 R Narth Ayrathire Cauncil 12 32 32 -0.1% G 1.66 Gradka 20% TEA muster 2013 R Narth Ayrathire Cauncil 11 44 40 12.4% G 0.84 Gradka 20% TEA muster 2013 K Nauf Farart District Cauncil 11 34 28 19.4% G 0.84 Gradka 20% TEA muster 2013 UB reather other cauncil 12 30 30 -0.5% G 1.06 Gradka 20% TEA muster 2013 UB <	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	R	Lancartor City Council	12	36	34	6.1%	G	0.94			
urran provide fill provide fill provide fill provide fill provide fill fill<	Geelle	20% (EA in Later	2013	UB	Lutan Baraugh Council Dua Ju	12	36	33	7.1%	9	0.93			
urrawn urrawn<	Geo dia	20% TEA in Liator	2013	n P	r engle Nashk Ausshin, Caus sil	12	39	30	-0.1×	р с	1.10			
Gradka 20% TEA in uster 2013 Nu For an other is to some of the interview of the inter	Gradka	20% TEM In Liator	2013	RS N	Novi Ferent DC	14	32 dk	32 40	13.42	6	8.22			
Gradka 20% TEAinuator 2013 UB rauthamptan sity cauncil 12 30 30 -0.5% G 4.94 Gradka 20% TEAinuator 2013 UB rauthamptan sity cauncil 12 30 30 -0.5% G 4.94 Gradka 20% TEAinuator 2013 UB Balfart City Cauncil 11 33 31 6.3% G 4.94 Gradka 20% TEAinuator 2013 UC Balfart City Cauncil 11 33 31 6.3% G 4.94	Gradke	20% TEA in Lister	2013	B	Nou Forort District Council	- 11	40	28	19.42	6	4.14			
Gradka 20% TEAinuator 2013 UC Belfart City Cauncil 11 33 31 6.3% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEAinuator 2013 UC Belfart City Cauncil 11 33 31 6.3% G 0.94 Gradka 20% TEAinuator 2013 UC Belfart City Cauncil 11 33 31 6.3% G 0.94	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	UB	zauthamptan city council	12	30	30	-0.5%	G	1.00			
Gradko 2007 Télinuster 2013 Overall Factor ² (36 studies) Ura 4,95	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013	UC	Belfart City Council	11	33	31	6.3%	G	0.94			
	Gradka	20% TEA in water	2013		Overall Factor ³ (36 studies)					Ure	0.95			

Bias adjustment 2013 (Version 09/14)

Forest of Dean District Council

e Bias Adju	ustmen	t Fa	ctor Spreadsheet			Spreadshe	et Ver	sion Numl	oer: 06/15
er to show the results of relevant co-location studies This spreadsheet will be									
nd are not suitable f	nd are not suitable for correcting individual short-term monitoring periods updated at the end of							e end of	
ould state the adjus	build state the adjustment factor used and the version of the spreadsheet September 2015								
months: the factors	may therefore	be su	bject to change. This should not discou	urage their i	immediate use.				
fra and the Devolved Administrations by Bureau Veritas, in conjunction with contract strategy. Criginal compiled by Air Quality Consultants Ltd.							ry. Original		
Step 2:	Step 3:			5	Step 4:				
Select a Prenaration	Select a Year	Vhe	ere there is only one study for a ch	osen com	bination. you :	should use th	e adius	tment fac	or shown
Method from the Drop-Down List	from the Drop-Down List	with	caution. Where there is more than	one stud the fi	y, use the ove nal column.	erall factor ³ s	hown ii	n <mark>blue</mark> at ti	he foot of
If a proparation mothed in notshown, we have no data for this mothed at this laboratory.	lf a year ir not shown, we have no data ²	lf you ł	f you have your own co-location study then see footnote ⁴ . If uncertain what to do then contact the Local Air Quality Management Helpdesk at LAQMHelpdesk@uk.bureauveritas.com or 0800 0327953						
Method Touch and the second	Year ⁵	Site Type	Local Authority	Length of Study (months)	Diffusion Tube Mean Conc. (Dm) (بورm³)	Automatic Monitor Mean Conc. (Cm) (un/m ³)	Bias (B)	Tube Precisio n ⁶	Bias Adjustme nt Factor (A) (Cm/Dm)
20% TEA in water	2014	UC	Belfast City Council	11	33	32	5.6%	G	0.95
20% TEA in water	2014	B	Borough Council of King's Lunn & West Norfolk	12	29	21	37.7%	G	0.73
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Brighton & Hove City Council	12	55	48	15.2%	G	0.87
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Brighton & Hove City Council	11	60	57	6.2%	G	0.94
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Cheshire West and Chester	11	40	40	-1.0%	G	1.01
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Dudley MBC	12	36	31	18.1%	G	0.85
20% TEA in water	2014	UB	Dudley MBC	12	26	23	11.2%	G	0.90
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Dudley MBC	12	41	35	15.2%	G	0.87
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Dudley MBC	12	52	60	-12.6%	G	1.14
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Gateshead Council	10	35	32	10.8%	G	0.90
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Gateshead Council	12	36	36	-0.1%	G	1.00
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Gateshead Council	12	34	32	6.4%	G	0.94
20% TEA in water	2014	UB	Luton Borough Council	9	36	37	-4.0%	G	1.04
20% TEA in water	2014	KS	Marylebone Road Intercomparison	12	115	80	42.8%	G	0.70
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Monmouthshire County Council	10	42	38	10.1%	G	0.91
20% TEA in water	2014	R	NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL	12	44	39	14.3%	G	0.87
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Bedford Borough Council	12	38	39	-2.7%	G	1.03
20% TEA in water	2014	R	City of Lincoln Council	12	45	38	16.8%	G	0.86
20% TEA in water	2014	R	East Herts Council	11	37	33	14.5%	G	0.87
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Lancaster City Council	11	36	38	-4.0%	G	1.04
20% TEA in water	2014	R	Wokingham Borough Council	12	40	37	9.3%	G	0.91
20% TEA in water	2014		Overall Factor ³ (21 studies)					Use	0.91

Bias adjustment 2014 (Version 06/15)

QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring

Summary of Laboratory Performance in WASP NO₂ Proficiency Testing Scheme for Rounds 117-124²².

Reports are prepared by HSL for BV/NPL on behalf of Defra and the Devolved Administrations.

Background

The Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP) is an independent analytical proficiency-testing (PT) scheme, operated by LGC Standards and supported by the Health and Safety Laboratory (HSL). WASP offers a number of test samples designed to test the proficiency of laboratories undertaking analysis of chemical pollutants in workplace and ambient air. One such sample is the WASP NO₂ test sample type that is distributed to participants on a quarterly basis.

WASP NO₂ PT forms an integral part of the UK NO₂ Network's QA/QC, and is a useful tool in assessing the analytical performance of laboratories supplying diffusion tubes to Local Authorities for use in the context of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM). With consent from the participating laboratories, HSL provides summary proficiency testing data to the LAQM Helpdesk for hosting on the web-pages at http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/diffusion-tubes/qa-qc-framework.html .

Defra and the Devolved Administrations advise that diffusion tubes used for Local Air Quality Management should be obtained from laboratories that have demonstrated satisfactory performance in the WASP scheme.

For this reason, although WASP remains an independent proficiency-testing scheme, laboratory performance in WASP is also assessed by NPL in conjunction with separate data from the Field Intercomparison Exercise carried out at Marylebone Road, Central London. The information is used to help the laboratories to identify if they have problems and may assist devising measures to improve their performance. This forms part of work for Defra and the Devolved Administrations under the Local Air Quality Management Services Contract.

This information will be updated on a quarterly basis following completion of each WASP PT round. The posting of reports to schedule is dependent on the laboratories sending their results promptly to HSL.

WASP NO₂ PT Scheme overview

Purpose of scheme

The WASP performance testing scheme uses artificially spiked Palmes type diffusion tubes to test each participating laboratory's analytical performance on a quarterly basis. Such tubes are not designed to test other parts of the measurement system e.g. sampling. Every quarter, roughly January, April, July and October each year, each laboratory receives four diffusion tubes doped with an amount of nitrite, known to HSL, but not the participants. At least two of the tubes are usually duplicates, which enables precision, as well as accuracy, to be assessed. The masses of nitrite on the spiked tubes are different each quarter, and reflect the typical analytical range encountered in actual NO₂ ambient monitoring in the UK when using such diffusion tubes.

²² Summary of Laboratory Performance in WASP NO2 Proficiency Testing Scheme for Rounds 108-115. http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/WASP-NO2-Scheme-for-Rounds-105-113-(April-2009---June-2011).pdf

Preparation of test samples

Diffusion tubes are spiked using a working nitrite solution prepared from a stock solution. The concentration of this stock solution is initially assayed using a titrimetric procedure. All steps in the subsequent test sample production process, involving gravimetric and volumetric considerations, are undertaken using calibrated instruments employing traceable standards. As an additional cross check, 12 spiked

Palmes tubes are picked at random from each spike loading level and submitted to a third party laboratory which is accredited to ISO 17025 to undertake this analysis using an ion chromatographic procedure.

In summary, the tube spiking precision is calculated to be better than 0.5 %, expressed as a standard deviation, and this is derived from repeat gravimetric checking of the pipette device used to spike the test samples. The calculated spike values, derived from titrimetric, gravimetric and volumetric considerations, are found to be typically within ± 3 % of results obtained by the third party laboratory using an ion chromatographic analytical procedure.

Scheme operation

The participants analyse the test samples and report the results to HSL. HSL assign a performance score to each laboratory's result, based on how far their results deviate from the reference values for each test samples. The reference values are best estimates of the levels of nitrite doped onto the test sample tubes. At the completion of the round, laboratories receive a report detailing how they have performed and how their results relate to those of their peers.

Performance scoring

Changes to Scoring System as reported on the LAQM website The z-score system is used by HSL to assess the performance of laboratories participating in the WASP NO₂ scheme. Information on the interpretation of the zscore is provided below.

It was proposed however that HSL would migrate to an alternative scoring scheme, which is commonly used elsewhere in their WASP scheme for other PT services. In anticipation of this proposed migration, laboratory summary performance, previously reported on the LAQM website, has been based upon this WASP scoring system.

HSL has decided, upon review, to maintain the z-score system, primarily due to the fact that it is a more readily understandable scoring system when viewed by a wider audience. Hence, going forward, laboratory summary performance, to be reported on the LAQM website, will be based upon this z-score system.

Key changes to the scoring system include:

• All monthly performance scores are reported and the previous WASP scoring system, which allowed the lowest performing,

• The use of the z-score allows new entrants or those leaving the WASP scheme to be assessed as the score is not based on a rolling performance indicator,

• All results from UK laboratories participating in the WASP scheme are now reported (previously laboratories that did not demonstrate satisfactory performance were not included).

The following table²³ lists those UK laboratories undertaking LAQM activities that have participated in recent HSL WASP NO₂ PT rounds and the percentage (%) of results

²³ Summary of Laboratory Performance in WASP NO2 Proficiency Testing Scheme for Rounds 108-115. http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/LAQM-WASP-Rounds-117-124-(April-2012--March-2014)-NO2-report.pdf

Forest of Dean District Council

submitted which were subsequently determined to be satisfactory based upon a z-score of < \pm 2 as defined above.

	WASP	WASP	WASP	WASP	WASP	WASP	WASP	WASP
	R117	R118	R119	R120	R121	R122	R123	R124
	April -	July - Sep	Oct - Dec	Jan - Mar	April -	July - Sep	Oct - Dec	Jan - Mar
	June 2012	2012	2012	2013	June 2013	2013	2013	2014
Gradko	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Appendix B: Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites



Appendix C: Lists of Permitted Installations

Part A(1) Installations

Environmental Agency permitted installations involving Part A1 prescribed activities regulated under Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2007

Permit	Company Name/Address	Description
XP3039GG	BASF Metals Recycling Ltd Valley Road Cinderford Gloucestershire GL14 2PB	S4.2(A)(1)(b) Unless falling within another Section of this Schedule, any manufacturing activity which is likely to result in the release into the air of any hydrogen halide (other than the manufacture of glass or the coating, plating or surface treatment of metal) or which is likely to result in the release into the air or water of any halogen or any of the compounds mentioned in paragraph (a)(vi) (other than the treatment of water). S2.2A(1)(e) Recovering any of the following elements if the activity may result in their release into the air: gallium; indium; palladium; tellurium; thallium and S5.1(A)(1)(e) Unless carried out as part of any other activity in this Part, the incineration of non-hazardous waste in a plant which is not an incineration plant or a co-incineration plant but which has a capacity of 1 tonne or more per hour.
ZP3036LK	Freemans of Newent Ltd Town Farm Gloucester Road Newent Gloucestershire GL18 1HP	S6.8 A (1) (b) Slaughtering animals at plant with a carcass production capacity of more than 50 tonnes per day and S5.3 A(1) (c) (ii) Disposal of non-hazardous waste in a facility with a capacity of more than 50 tonnes per day by - physico-chemical treatment, not being treatment specified in any paragraph other than paragraph D9 in Annex IIA to Council Directive 75/442/EEC, which results in final compounds or mixtures which are discarded by means of any of the operations numbered D1 to D12 in that Annex (for example, evaporation, drying, calcination, etc) (D9).
BV1305IV	Surotech International Ltd Hafner House 11 Newent Business Park Gloucester Road Newent Gloucestershire GL18 1DZ	S4.1 A(1) (a) (iii) Producing organic chemicals such as organic compounds containing sulphur, such as sulphides, mercaptans, sulphonic acids, sulphonates, sulphates and sulphones and sulphur heterocyclics and (viii) plastic material, such as polymers, synthetic fibres and cellulose based fibres. S4.2 A(1) (a) (iv) Producing inorganic chemicals such as (iv) salts, such as ammonia chloride, potassium chlorate, potassium carbonate, sodium carbonate, perborate, silver nitrate, cupric acetate, ammonia phosphomolybdate and (c) Unless falling within any other Section of the Schedule any manufacturing activity involving the use of hydrogen cyanide or hydrogen sulphide.
BP3236LC	Glatfelter Lydney Ltd, Lydney Paper Mill, Church Road, Lydney, Gloucestershire GL15 5EJ	6.1 A(1) (a) Producing, in industrial plant pulp from timber or other fibrous materials and S6.1 A(1)(b) producing in industrial plant paper and board where the plant has a production capacity of more than 20 tonnes per day.
AP3731SA	Pressroom Products Ltd Crucible Close Mushet Industrial Park Coleford, Gloucestershire GL16 8RE	Section 5.4 Part A(1)(a) Recovery of waste; by distillation of oil/organic solvent.
BK9326IX	SmithKline Beecham Plc Royal Forest Factory Coleford Gloucestershire GL16 8JB	Section 6.8 A(1)(d)(ii) – Treating and processing materials intended for the production of food products from vegetable raw materials at plant with a finished production capacity of more than 300 tonnes per day. Section 5.3 A(1)(c)(ii) - Disposal of non-hazardous waste in a facility with a capacity of more than 50 tonnes per day by - physico-chemical treatment, not being treatment specified in any paragraph other than paragraph D9 in Annex IIA to Council Directive 75/442/EEC, which results in final compounds or mixtures which are discarded by means of any of the operations numbered D1 to D12 in that Annex (for example, evaporation, drying, calcination, etc.) (D9).

Poultry Farms

Premises	Type of Farm	No. of Birds	Type of ventilation
Ploddy House Poultry Unit, Newent, Gloucestershire	Turkey broilers	52,000	Side vents
Cherry Rock Poultry Unit, Hartpury, Gloucestershire	Chicken broilers	270,000	Side vents
Woolaston Court Poultry Unit, Woolaston, Gloucestershire	Pullets	92,000	Roof vents
Cottrells Barn Poultry Unit, Mitcheldean, Gloucestershire	Pullets	64,000	Half roof & half side vents
Treetops Poultry Unit, Bream, Gloucestershire	Chicken broilers	318,000	Side vents
St Briavels & Severn View, St Briavels, Gloucestershire	Chicken layers	100,000 – caged	Side vents
		13,000 free range	Side vents
Roads Farm, St Briavels, Gloucestershire	Chicken layers	146,000 - caged	Side vents
Hill Farm, Lydney, Gloucestershire	Chicken broilers	110,000	Side vents
Stone End Farm, Churcham, Gloucestershire	Chicken broilers	900,000	Side vents

Part A(2) Installations

Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control (LAPPC) permitted installations involving Part 2A prescribed activities regulated under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2007

Permit	Company Name/Address	Description
PPC(A2)3	Broadmoor Brickworks, Whimsey I.E. Cinderford, Gloucestershire	Manufacture of Heavy Clay Goods (Bricks)
PPC(A2)4	Coleford Brick & Tile, Royal Forest of Dean Brickworks, Cinderford, Gloucestershire	Manufacture of Heavy Clay Goods (Bricks)
PPC(A2)19/92	J D Norman Lydney Ltd, Tutnalls, Lydney, Gloucestershire	Ferrous Metal Foundry

Part B Installations

Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control (LAPPC) permitted installations involving Part B prescribed activities regulated under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2007.

Permit No.	Company Name & Address	Description	
PVR/08	Abbotswood Garage, Cinderford, 133, Lower High St, Cinderford, Gloucestershire GL14 2TD	PVR	
PVR/04	Alvington Service Station, Main Road, Lydney, Gloucestershire GL15 6BE	PVR	
PPC/54	Bardon Concrete, Clearwell Quarries Ltd, Stowe, St. Briavels, Lydney, Gloucestershire, GL15 6QW	Bulk Use of Cement	
PPC/67	Beeches Garage, Edge End Road, Mile End, Coleford, Gloucestershire, GL16 7DA	Waste Oil Burner	
PPC/32	Berwin Industrial Polymers Ltd, Church Road, Lydney, Gloucestershire. GL15 5FG	Rubber Processes	
PPC/43	Bituchem Ltd, Birchwood Close, Forest Vale Industrial Estate. Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2YH	Roadstone Coating & Bitumen/Tar Processes	
PPC/20	Bituchem Ltd, Birchwood Close, Forest Vale Industrial Estate, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2YH	Roadstone Coating & Bitumen/Tar Processes	
PPC/16	Breedon Aggregates, Clearwell Quarry, Stowe Green, St. Briavels, Lydney, Gloucestershire. GL15 6QW	Quarry Processes/ Roadstone Coating	
PVR/05	Brierley Service Station, High Street, Brierley, Gloucestershire. GL17 9DL	PVR	
PPC(A2)03	Broadmoor Brickworks Ltd, Whimsey Industrial Estate. Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 3JA	Manufacture of Heavy Clay Goods (Bricks)	
PPC/51	Buckland Agricultural, Court Farm Workshops Huntley Road, Tibberton, Gloucestershire. GL19 3AF	Waste Oil Burner	
PPC/56	C.G. Perrett Plant and Construction, The Leechpool, Bream Road, Lydney, Gloucestershire. GL15 5JW	Mobile Crushing and Screening Plant	
PPC/62	Thoni-Alutec, Cannop Foundry, Valley Road, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2NX	Ferrous & Non Ferrous Metal Foundry	
PPC/01	Cavendish Dry Cleaners Ltd, 4 Cavendish Buildings, Hill Street, Lydney, Gloucestershire. GL15 5HD	Dry Cleaning	
PVR/17	Chaxhill Service Station, SRN Services UK Ltd., Chaxhill Services, Westbury-on-Severn, Gloucestershire. GL14 1QW	PVR	
PVR/18	Cinderford MOT and Service Centre, Steam Mills Road, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 3HY	PVR	
PPC(A2)04	Coleford Brick & Tile Ltd, Royal Forest of Dean Brickworks, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 3JJ	Manufacture of Heavy Clay Goods (Bricks)	
PPC/48	Crematoria Management Ltd., Yew Tree Brake, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 3HU	Cremation of human remains	
PVR/07	Cross Hands Garage, Corse, Hartpury, Gloucestershire. GL19 3BU	PVR	

Forest of Dean District Council

Permit No.	Company Name & Address	Description	
PPC/58	Dean Mowers Ltd, Central Garage, Blakeney, Gloucestershire. GL15 4EB	Waste Oil Burner	
PVR/09	Elton Service Station, Elton Road,Elton, Newnham GL14 1JQ	PVR	
PPC/66	FAB Recycling Ltd, Broadmoor Road, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2YL	Waste Oil Burner	
PPC(A2)19	Federal Mogul Camshaft Castings Ltd, Tutnalls, Lydney, Gloucestershire. GL15 5PX	Ferrous Metal Foundary	
PPC/10	Forest Auto Salvage Ltd, Valley Road, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2PH	Waste Oil Burner	
PPC/65	Forest of Dean Express Asphalt, Stowe, St. Briavels, Gloucestershire. GL15 6QN	Roadstone Coating	
PPC/40	Formpave Ltd, Tufthorn Avenue, Coleford, Gloucestershire. GL16 8PR	Bulk use of Cement	
PVR/10	General Garage, Ross Road, Huntley, Gloucestershire. GL19 3EA	PVR	
PPC/68	Grouphomesafe Ltd., Unit 8, Newent Business Park, Newent, Gloucestershire. GL18 1DZ	Di-isocyanate process	
PPC/42	Hanson Aggregates (Drybrook Quarry) Ltd. Hawthorns, Drybrook, Gloucestershire GL17 9BT	Quarry Processes	
PVR/11	Highleadon Filling Station, Newent, Gloucestershire. GL18 1HJ	PVR	
PPC/69	Hope Cement, Coleford Concrete Plant, Stowfield Quarry, Scowles Pitch, Coleford	Bulk use of cement	
PVR/02	Lower Lane Superstop, Simon Smith Group, Lower Lane Superstop, Lower Lane, Berry Hill, Coleford, Gloucestershire. GL16 8QQ	PVR	
PPC/39	Lydney Newspace Ltd, Unit 30, Lydney Industrial Estate, Harbour Road, Lydney, GL15 4EJ	Coating of Metal and Plastic	
PPC/55	Milbury Precast, Lydney Industrial Estate, Harbour Road, Lydney, Gloucestershire. GL15 4EJ	Bulk Use of Cement	
PVR/16	Mitcheldean Garage, New Road, Mitcheldean, Gloucestershire. GL17 0BX	PVR	
PPC/63	Mitcheldean MOT Centre, Gloucester Road, Mitcheldean, Gloucestershire. GL17 0DS	Waste Oil Burner	
PVR/12	Motorhouse Service Station, Crucible Close, Mushet Industrial Park, Coleford, Gloucestershire. GL16 8RE	PVR	
PVR/06	Newent Self-Serve, Meridian Service Station, Gloucester Road, Newent, Gloucestershire. GL18 1HR	PVR	
PPC/53	Newspace Containers Ltd New Dunn Works, Coleford, Gloucestershire. GL16 8JD	Coating of Metal and Plastic	
PPC/31	Nobel Foods Ltd, Clearwell Farm, The Rocks, Clearwell, Gloucestershire. GL16 8JR	Animal Feed Compounding	
PPC/25	P & J Loveridge, 157 High Street, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2TF	Waste Oil Burner	

Forest of Dean District Council

Permit No.	Company Name & Address	Description
PPC/57	Paul Jones Motors, Spout Lane, Coleford, Gloucestershire. GL16 8DP	Waste Oil Burner
PPC/50	Rackham Housefloors Ltd, Forest Vale Industrial Estate, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2YT	Bulk Use of Cement
PPC/38	Rothdean Haulage, Station Street, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 2LG	Respraying of Road Vehicles
PPC/05	Severn Valley Woodworks Ltd, Church Lane, Northwood Green, Westbury on Severn, Gloucestershire. GL14 1ND	Timber and Wood Based Products
PPC/37	Staunton Service Station, Staunton, Coleford, Gloucestershire. GL16 8PA	Respraying of Road Vehicles
PVR/14	Steam Mills Garage, Steam Mills, Cinderford, Gloucestershire. GL14 3JD	PVR
PPC/14	Lafarge Tarmac, Stowfield Quarry, Staunton Road, Coleford, Gloucestershire. GL16 8NS	Quarry Processes/Roadstone Coating/Cement
PVR/15	Tesco Stores Ltd, High Street, Lydney, Gloucestershire, GL15 5TH	PVR
PVR/01	Thompson & Thompson, Cross Hands Garage, Lydney, Gloucestershire. GL15 4LH	PVR