## Appendix 5: Plans and Projects

Plan/Project	Proposal	Potential Impacts that could cause in-combination effects
Forest of Dean Core Strategy	Adopted (February 2012) Following legal challenge AAP confirmed in May 2013. A further challenge was made but permission to appeal was refused by the Court of Appeal on 24 March 2014	The HRA concluded that the Core Strategy will not result in any significant negative impacts on identified sites, although there will be a need for HRA Screening, with the possibility of an Appropriate Assessment requirements, at later stages of the planning processes, when development proposals are more detailed.
Lydney Neighbourhood Development Plan	Consultation in progress	The HRA concluded the LNDP would have no significant adverse effect if a number of recommendations and changes to wording were embedded in the plan.
Cinderford Northern Quarter Area Action Plan	Adopted (February 2012) Following legal challenge AAP confirmed in May 2013. A further challenge was made but permission to appeal was refused by the Court of Appeal on 24 March 2014	The HRA identified four potentially likely significant effects through a screening of the AAP. It concluded these should be assessed at the project stage when detailed information was available.
P0663/14/OUT Northern Quarter Cinderford	A large scale hybrid planning application covering much of the AAP - comprising the demolition of buildings and mixed use development and associated infra- structure	The HRA concluded with all the mitigation incorporated into the projects that the project would not adversely affect the integrity of any European Site.
South Worcestershire Development Plan	Re-commencing examination (2015)	The HRA for the Proposed Submission version of the South Worcestershire Development Plan concluded that the mitigation provided by Pre-Submission policies and current regulatory processes (EA Review of Consents), the plan will not have an adverse effect on European sites.
Monmouthshire Local	Adopted February 2014	The HRA Report for the Monmouthshire Draft Deposit

Development Plan		LDP concluded that the Deposit LDP (incorporating
•		Focussed Changes, and including site allocations)
		would not have any likely significant effects alone or in
		combination on European sites.
Stroud Local Plan	Examination in progress (re-commencing May	The HRA concluded that with the inclusion of the
	2015)	recommended amendments to the Stroud Local Plan it
		will not lead to an adverse effect on the integrity of any
		European sites either alone or in-combination. It is
		understood that changes to the recommended
		mitigation in the HRA have been made by Natural
		England but have since been agreed and incorporated
		into the Local Plan with a final conclusion that there
		would not lead be an adverse effect on the integrity of
		any European sites.
Joint Core Strategy:	Plan submitted to Planning Inspectorate	The HRA concluded (taking into account the HRA's
Gloucester,	November 2014	various recommendations for mitigation) that the Draft
Cheltenham and		JCS would not have an adverse effect on the integrity
Tewkesbury		of European sites.
Herefordshire Core	Currently in examination (February 2015)	HRA of the Pre-submission Core Strategy concluded
Strategy		there were no likely significant effects on European
		sites to be reached, either alone or in combination with
		other plans although additional work was
		recommended in relation to the Hereford Area Plan.
Gloucestershire	Adopted November 2012	The HRA concluded that the WCS and associated
Waste Core Strategy		policies will have no likely significant effects alone or in-
		combination on any European designated sites for
		nature conservation.
Gloucestershire Draft	In consultation. Pre-publication daft anticipated	The HRA is not completed and is still at information
Minerals Plan	2015.	gathering stage.